

DIGITALES ARCHIV

ZBW – Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft
ZBW – Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Singh, Antara Ghosal

Book

To stay or to go : decoding Chinese enterprises' "India dilemma"

Provided in Cooperation with:

Observer Research Foundation (ORF), New Delhi

Reference: Singh, Antara Ghosal (2024). To stay or to go : decoding Chinese enterprises' "India dilemma". New Delhi, India : ORF, Observer Research Foundation.
<https://www.orfonline.org/public/uploads/posts/pdf/20240520103240.pdf>.

This Version is available at:

<http://hdl.handle.net/11159/654449>

Kontakt/Contact

ZBW – Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft/Leibniz Information Centre for Economics
Düsternbrooker Weg 120
24105 Kiel (Germany)
E-Mail: [rights\[at\]zbw.eu](mailto:rights[at]zbw.eu)
<https://www.zbw.eu/econis-archiv/>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Dieses Dokument darf zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden. Sie dürfen dieses Dokument nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, aufführen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen. Sofern für das Dokument eine Open-Content-Lizenz verwendet wurde, so gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

<https://zbw.eu/econis-archiv/termsfuse>

Terms of use:

This document may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes. You are not to copy it for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the document in public, to perform, distribute or otherwise use the document in public. If the document is made available under a Creative Commons Licence you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the licence.

Occasional Paper



ISSUE NO. 438 MAY 2024

© 2024 Observer Research Foundation. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, copied, archived, retained or transmitted through print, speech or electronic media without prior written approval from ORF.

To Stay or To Go: Decoding Chinese Enterprises' 'India Dilemma'

Antara Ghosal Singh

Abstract

In recent years, India has become a hot investment destination for Chinese companies. While Chinese investments in India have come under greater scrutiny following the 2020 Galwan incident, this has done little to reverse Chinese enterprises' strong appetite for the Indian market. At the same time, Beijing is increasingly concerned that in their rush to capitalise on the Indian market, Chinese companies are embracing the 'Make in India' policy and inadvertently supporting India's ambition to replace China as the world's leading manufacturing hub.

In early 2024, India's Enforcement Directorate (ED) conducted raids across 19 locations in Delhi-NCR, Chandigarh, Haryana, Punjab, and Gujarat in a money laundering probe against two Chinese-backed fintech firms.¹ This was the latest in a series of punitive actions against Chinese companies in India in recent years² over alleged money laundering, fraud, tax evasion, running shell companies, and more. A few months prior, in December 2023, senior executives of Vivo, a leading Chinese mobile phone company, were arrested for violating India's Prevention of Money Laundering Act. The development attracted the attention of China's foreign ministry and its spokesperson Mao Ning had at that time urged the Indian government not to discriminate against Chinese enterprises.³

As such, it can be argued that even as the military stalemate continues at the Line of Actual Control (LAC) between India and China following the 2020 Galwan incident, the issue of the functioning of Chinese enterprises in India is fast emerging as another sticking point in the bilateral relationship. This paper tracks China's domestic discourse on the issue and analyses how this might impact Chinese policies towards India.

Overview of Chinese Companies in India

Chinese companies have been active in India for at least the past two decades. However, the launch of China’s Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) in 2013 marked a significant shift, with investing in India becoming “a new consensus” among Chinese enterprises.⁴ The exact number of Chinese enterprises operating in India in any given year is difficult to ascertain as neither government maintains such a record.⁵ Foreign direct investment (FDI) from China to India is also often routed through third countries, whose links to Chinese entities may be difficult to determine.⁶

In 2016, a first-of-its-kind survey by the Industrial and Commercial Bank of China pegged the number of Chinese-funded companies registered in India at 431.⁷ Another survey in 2019, by Chinese consulting firm Zhufan Research Institute, without stating the exact numbers, highlighted, that the number of Chinese companies entering India between 2010 and 2016 was three times that before 2010, while the number between 2016 and 2019 was twice that before 2016.⁸

The Zhufan Institute survey further highlighted three development stages of Chinese companies in India. Before 2010 these were mainly in the fields of infrastructure construction, power, telecommunications, roads and bridges, rail transit, and energy. From 2010 to 2016, Chinese companies entered areas such as consumer electronics, high-end services, pharma, factory machinery, and building materials. After 2016, companies in the manufacturing and digital technology fields began to invest in India on a large scale.⁹

By 2019, Chinese companies began to cluster in specific areas (see Table1).¹⁰

Overview of Chinese Companies in India

Table 1: Chinese Companies in Industrial clusters in India

Industrial Clusters	Type of Industries	Brands
Ahmedabad-Vadodara	light engineering, electrical equipment	SAIC, Highly Electrical Appliances India Ltd, TBEA, Bao Steel
Mumbai-Pune	heavy equipment, plastic components, automobiles, consumer durables, real estate, financial services	Alibaba, Sany, Lesso, Haier, Zoomlion, Midea
Bengaluru	IT, real estate	Xiaomi, ZTE, Chinasoft International, Xindia Steels, CNTC
Delhi-NCR	Electronics, Electrical Machinery, Auto Components, Real Estate	OPPO, Vivo, Vsun, Xiaomi, Midea, CRRC, Coslight Batteries, Sunwoda Electronic
Andhra Pradesh-Chennai	Light Engineering, Electronics, Solar Modules	BYD, ZTT, Yama, Fosun Pharma, Pals Plush, Xiaomi, Gionee, XCMG, Lepro Solar, China Electronic Technology Group Corporation (CETC)

Source: Sohu¹¹

The Chinese accounts maintain that the most prominent Chinese investments in India are in the mobile phone, automobile, and power sectors.¹²

Mobile Phone Companies

Chinese mobile phones began entering the Indian market in 2007.¹³ However, it was only in 2014 that well-known smartphone brands such as

Overview of Chinese Companies in India

Xiaomi, Vivo, OPPO, Huawei, and OnePlus entered and rapidly captured market share.¹⁴

As of the first quarter of 2019, Chinese smartphones had a substantial 66 percent market share in India, with a 20-percent year-on-year increase; Xiaomi, which topped the list, had a market share of 29 percent (Samsung, from South Korea, ranked second, with 23 percent).¹⁵ Other Chinese brands with strong growth included Vivo, Realme, and OPPO, among which Vivo's sales growth reached a staggering 119 percent. At the same time, all Indian brands taken together had barely 22 percent of the market.¹⁶ By the second quarter of 2020, Chinese brands accounted for about 80 percent market share in India.¹⁷

The popularity of smartphones in India also opened up three major business opportunities for Chinese internet companies: mobile e-commerce, games, and advertising.¹⁸ As such, India became an outstanding success story for Chinese mobile phone brands and internet companies.¹⁹

Car Companies

Chinese car companies have also continued to invest and set up factories in India to capitalise on the rapid growth of the Indian auto parts and components industry. SAIC was the first Chinese firm to establish a factory in India through an acquisition; it invested US\$310 million²⁰ to acquire General Motors' Halol factory in Gujarat, and has since been mainly making electric vehicles (EVs) under the MG brand name.²¹

Great Wall Motors (GWM) announced its acquisition of General Motors' factory in Talegaon, Maharashtra, in January 2020,²² with the intent of making SUVs, EVs, and lithium-ion batteries for EVs. It had previously also started a research and development (R&D) centre in Bangalore (in 2016).²³

In 2019, Beiqi Foton announced plans to enter India, signing a joint venture agreement with PMI Electro Mobility Solutions Pvt Ltd, a Haryana-based bus manufacturing company. It also planned to set up a US\$12 million plant in Pune to produce electric buses.²⁴ Similarly, BYD,

Overview of Chinese Companies in India

Changan Automobile,²⁵ Chery²⁶ and other Chinese manufacturers, have all been keen to enter the Indian market.²⁷

Power Companies

India, with its expanding power grid and 100 percent electrification target, offered a lucrative market for China's power manufacturers. Chinese companies started entering the Indian market as early as 2004, and soon became the largest suppliers of power generation equipment to India. Between 2018 and 2019, the total import volume of India's power sector was US\$8.4 billion (INR 710 billion), of which US\$2.5 billion (INR 210 billion)—or about 30 percent—was spent on imports from China.²⁸

Chinese thermal power equipment companies were the major suppliers during India's 11th and 12th Five-Year Plan years (2007-2017). Of the 55 gigawatts (GW) of installed capacity India added during the 11th Plan years, 34 percent of the total equipment came from China.²⁹ In the first three years of the 12th Plan, more than half the equipment required was supplied by Chinese manufacturers. China's three major power generation equipment manufacturers, China Dongfang Electric Group, Shanghai Electric Group and Harbin Electric Group, became bulk exporters to the Indian market.³⁰ India accounted for over 50 percent of the overseas market for several Chinese companies, such as New Northeast Electric Group High Voltage Switch Co. and Jiangsu Tongguang Electronic Cable Co.³¹

India's 12th Five-Year Plan (2012-2017) emphasised new energy, which opened up fresh opportunities for China's photovoltaic (solar) industry. As per Chinese estimates, between April 2016 and January 2017, India imported solar equipment worth US\$2.17 billion, of which Chinese imports comprised US\$1.9 billion, or 87 percent.³² In 2017-18, India became the biggest market for China's solar module exports; in subsequent years too, it has been one of China's major solar export destinations. Many Chinese companies in this segment—such as JA Solar, GCL Integrated, Risen Energy, Longi, and Chint,—flocked to India, setting up offices and warehouses to cater to the growing market.³³

Decoding India's Allure

In 2015, in response to a media question on why India became a new “gold rush” for Chinese investors in the past few years, Li Jian, former secretary-general of the China Enterprises Chamber of Commerce in India, explained that there were three main reasons for the influx of Chinese companies into India.³⁴ The first is China’s overcapacity of manufacturing and domestic market saturation, with competition being so fierce that many companies find it difficult to survive if they do not enter the international market.

The second is the attractiveness of the Indian market.³⁵ The sheer size of the Indian market makes it almost impossible for Chinese enterprises to find an equivalent substitute. India also has a complete financial system, an active securities market, and provides incoming investments an exit mechanism, which gives it a clear advantage over other countries, including those along China’s BRI.

The third factor is China’s level of industrial development, which is closer to India’s level than that of the West. As such, Chinese products are more suited to the needs of the Indian market. Consequently, Chinese enterprises have better prospects of selling in the Indian market than in the West.³⁶

Notably, apart from those seeking early access to the Indian market, Chinese firms from industries in which its domestic supervision and regulations had become stricter (such as cash loans, gambling games, and live streaming) also chose to flock to India in the past years.³⁷ More recently, as part of a new trend, many Chinese companies are choosing to invest in India primarily because their upstream and downstream industries had been shifting to Indian locations.

India's Crackdown

In early 2020, as the pandemic raged, the People's Bank of China bought 1.01 percent stake in HDFC, India's largest private bank.^{a,38} To prevent more such "bargain hunting",³⁹ India revised its foreign direct investment (FDI) norms in April 2020, following which all investments by countries sharing a land border with India now need governmental approval, including in sectors where 'automatic' clearances were previously permitted. The restriction also extended to investors from other countries if they had "direct or indirect beneficial owners resident in a country that shares a land border with India" and covered any changes in the ownership of the existing FDI, any transfer of ownership, directly or indirectly.⁴⁰ To be sure, many other governments in Europe, North America, and elsewhere also took similar measures to protect local businesses from the impact of the pandemic.⁴¹

Notably, for India, the Galwan Valley clash in June 2020 proved the real tipping point, pushing the government to further restrict Chinese enterprises operating in the country. Since that month, India has banned over 300 Chinese apps,⁴² including social media, gaming, and e-commerce software, on national security grounds.⁴³

In June 2020, the Indian Railways' Dedicated Freight Corridor Corporation of India Ltd terminated its contract with China's Beijing National Railway Research and the Design Institute of Signals and Communication for signalling and telecommunications-related work on the Eastern Dedicated Freight Corridor.⁴⁴ In July 2020, India's Ministry of Power issued new regulations, making prior permission mandatory to import electricity equipment used in the supply network from 'prior reference' countries (namely, China and Pakistan),⁴⁵ which Power Minister Raj Kumar Singh admitted was meant to discourage imports from China.⁴⁶ In December 2020, Chinese company CRRC was also disqualified from bidding for a US\$21.6 billion contract to manufacture 44 Vande Bharat trainsets.⁴⁷

a After raising its stake in HDFC in April 2020, People's Bank of China trimmed it to below 1 percent in the June quarter (See: "Has People's Bank of China sold entire stake in HDFC? Here's what we know," *Business Today*, July 10, 2020, <https://www.businesstoday.in/industry/banks/story/has-people-bank-of-china-sold-entire-stake-in-hdfc-bank-heres-what-we-know-263671-2020-07-10>)

India's Crackdown

In July 2020, Union Minister for Road Transport and Highways Nitin Gadkari also declared that India would not allow Chinese firms participate in highway projects, including even through joint ventures with Indian companies, nor would Chinese investors be entertained in sectors such as micro, small and medium enterprises.⁴⁸ The finance ministry also amended its General Financial Rules 2017, barring government agencies from procuring goods and services from China and Pakistan on the grounds of national security.⁴⁹

In 2021, the Department of Telecommunications amended rules relating to telecom licenses to mandate the use of equipment only from “trusted sources” from 15 June 2021, tacitly forbidding the use of Chinese-origin telecommunications equipment in India’s government-owned network.⁵⁰ Huawei and ZTE were left out of India’s 5G trials.⁵¹ In June 2021, the Indian Olympic Association (IOA) dropped Chinese sportswear maker Li Ning as its official kit partner for the Tokyo Olympics, citing “public sentiment”.⁵²

Additionally, since 2020, India’s income tax department has also conducted tax investigations on or compliance reviews of almost all mainstream Chinese mobile phone brands, looking for evidence of wrongdoing, such as money laundering, tax evasion, and inadequate royalty payments. In 2023, for the first time, Indian authorities began arresting high-ranking executives of Chinese companies, including senior management executives, some of whom were Chinese nationals.⁵³ Indian government officials have reportedly also held meetings with Chinese mobile phone manufacturers, asking them to induct Indian equity partners in their local operations, appoint more Indian executives in top positions in their companies, provide more contracts to Indian manufacturers to support local manufacturing, and hire local distributors.⁵⁴

Most significantly, during the pandemic, India introduced several policies to strengthen its local manufacturing industry. The phased manufacturing plan, launched in 2015 to promote domestic manufacturing, was replaced by the production-linked incentive (PLI) scheme,^b which allocated

b The PLI scheme provides incentives, such as a subsidy, for increased sales of domestically manufactured products in key industries.

India's Crackdown

US\$26 billion (INR 19.7 trillion) to support local production in 14 key industries, including semiconductors, photovoltaics, electronic equipment, pharmaceuticals, medical equipment and automobiles.⁵⁵ In many of these sectors, India has been highly dependent on Chinese manufacturing.⁵⁶ In end-2021, India approved another incentive plan, providing US\$10 billion to attract large global semiconductor manufacturers to set up units in the country and thereby build India into a global centre for electronic system design and manufacturing,⁵⁷ eventually seeking to “compete with China and Vietnam in the global mobile phone production market”.⁵⁸ It set itself a target of US\$300 billion in electronics production, including US\$100 billion in exports, by 2025-26.⁵⁹

“Over the past few years, India has introduced several policies to strengthen its local manufacturing industry.”

Impact of India's Policies on Chinese Enterprises

Chinese observers believe that India's "targeted sanctions" have hit Chinese businesses hard, and much of the Indian market (the biggest and most lucrative in the developing world) has started eluding Chinese investors. Data released by China's Ministry of Commerce shows that, between January and December 2021, Chinese non-financial direct investment in India was US\$63.18 million, a year-on-year decrease of 68.3 percent, while the value of new project contracts won by Chinese enterprises in India declined to US\$1.67 billion, a year-on-year decrease of 26.9 percent.^{60,61}

In the mobile phone space, Chinese media reports claim that companies like Xiaomi, Vivo, and OPPO have cumulatively lost about US\$960 million to the Indian financial regulators.⁶² Other reports claim that India's ban on Chinese apps has resulted in about US\$10 billion in losses for Chinese app manufacturers and internet companies.⁶³ The market share of Chinese mobile phone companies in India has also fallen from its peak of 80 percent in Q2 2020⁶⁴ to approximately 50 percent in 2023,⁶⁵ with Samsung and Apple mainly benefitting as a result.

Facing a blanket ban in the Indian market, many Chinese internet companies have chosen to leave India, starting new units in West Asia, Latin America, and Africa.⁶⁶ Among those that have stayed back, some have entered what can be called 'grey industries', those that are either not completely legal, soon to be illegal, or the areas where the Indian legal system has not yet caught up with the development of Chinese Internet,⁶⁷ such as leasing of cash loan licences or third-party payment companies, which, they feel, can be remotely controlled from China. But in doing so, they have often fallen victim to dubious shell companies, thereby putting themselves and other Chinese businesses in India on a collision course with the government.⁶⁸

Chinese car companies are also in such trouble that they are either exiting India or selling away majority stakes to local investors. Both BYD⁶⁹ and GWM⁷⁰ abandoned their investment plans and exited the Indian market after their proposals were rejected by the Indian government. MG Motor India, owned by SAIC Motor, has been seeking to "Indianise" operations by selling a majority stake to Sajjan Jindal's JSW Group.⁷¹

Impact of India's Policies on Chinese Enterprises

In the power space, a 2021 Chinese survey highlighted that India's policy changes had impacted Chinese power companies in different ways. It noted that China's traditional power-generation equipment-makers were the least affected as they had no new projects in India. The sales of Chinese solar manufacturing companies to India remain considerable, although their market share has declined significantly in the past two years. However, companies making power transmission and distribution equipment, such as New Northeast Electric Group High Voltage Switch Co, have faced order cancellations worth over US\$13 million. The survey predicted that India's policy changes could further reduce the share of Chinese power equipment in the Indian market, and impact China's total volume of exports to India.^c

As per Chinese estimates, at the end of 2019, there were over 1000 Chinese-funded firms in India, but this number declined to about 700 by October 2021.⁷² By February 2024, this number has reportedly fallen further to 300.^{d,73} There is a growing consensus in China that this is "the largest and most far-reaching systemic crisis Chinese-funded enterprises have faced since they entered India".⁷⁴

c This apprehension has been expressed by others too. For example, when India decided to reimpose restrictions on procurement of solar modules from 1 April 2024 by mandating that such modules should be sourced only from an approved list of models and manufacturers, the Chinese media expressed fears that their country's export market would shrink significantly and discussed the "inevitability" of industrial transfer. However, the same media noted that even in 2021 and 2022, post-Galwan, 92 percent of such modules and panels that India imported (worth around US\$ 3 billion) came from China. (See: "印度市场不再使用中国光伏企业的组件? 企业和业内这样看" (Will the Indian market no longer use modules from Chinese photovoltaic companies? This is how companies and the industry see it), *China Business News*, 2 April 2024, <https://baijiahao.baidu.com/s?id=1795218069524163631&wfr=spider&for=pc>)

d In December 2022, India's corporate affairs ministry said that there are 174 Chinese companies registered in the country as foreign companies with India as their place of business. There were also 3,560 companies in India with Chinese directors on their boards. However, the number of companies with Chinese investors/ shareholders is unavailable. In December 2023, the ministry said the number of Chinese companies with India as the place of business had reduced to 53. (See: "Centre says 3,560 companies in India have Chinese directors", *The Economic Times*, December 12, 2022, https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/news/company/corporate-trends/centre-says-3560-companies-in-india-have-chinese-directors/articleshow/96174803.cms?utm_source=contentofinterest&utm_medium=text&utm_campaign=cppst; "Corp affairs ministry says 53 Chinese foreign companies established place of biz in India," *The Economic Times*, December 12, 2023, https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/news/india/corp-affairs-ministry-says-53-chinese-foreign-companies-established-place-of-biz-in-india/articleshow/105912857.cms?utm_source=contentofinterest&utm_medium=text&utm_campaign=cppst)

Understanding China's Non-Response

Strategists in China have frequently condemned India for what they have called its “unscrupulous suppression” of,⁷⁵ or “reckless assault of Chinese firms”.⁷⁶ China’s state media has been running a shrill campaign calling India “the graveyard for foreign investment,” and accusing it of “taking over or nationalizing Chinese companies”.⁷⁷ However, the Chinese government has not responded, barring the occasional cautionary statement,⁷⁸ and, so far, there has been no massive retaliation against India.

This is primarily because, China is aware of its obvious advantages over India in economy and trade, given its large trade surplus and the scale of its net investment in India. Despite the recent curbs India has imposed, the Indian market remains critical for Chinese companies to register growth and go global.^e China knows that it benefits more than India from the bilateral economic and trade cooperation.⁷⁹ As such, launching sanctions against India makes little economic sense.

Instead, China desperately wants to separate the border dispute with India from bilateral economic and trade relations, and restore the pre-2020 level of economic exchanges. As Wang Yi, Director of the Chinese Communist Party Central Committee’s Foreign Affairs Commission, has repeatedly stressed in his interactions with his Indian counterpart, China does not want the boundary dispute to encompass the entirety of China-India relations. He has maintained that the border issue “should be put at a proper place in the overall bilateral relations” and suggested India “ease all restrictions on Chinese investment”.⁸⁰ Many other top Chinese officials, including former Ambassador to India Sun Weidong,⁸¹ China’s current Charge d’Affaires in India Ma Jia,⁸² and Chinese Consul General in Mumbai Kong Xianhua,⁸³ have also made statements trying to placate India into delinking bilateral economic relations from the border dispute.

^e Chinese research papers highlight that India is the main market for nearly 60 percent of Chinese companies with overseas businesses. Several Chinese infrastructure companies have achieved global breakthroughs by relying on the Indian market. For many Chinese Internet companies, India is the first market to focus on, as a critical springboard for expansion into first-tier English-speaking markets such as the US, the UK, and Australia. (“第一份驻印中企生存环境调研结果出炉，围观中国互联网在印度的真实发展情况”(A survey on Chinese business in India, April 2019), April 23, 2019, <https://baijiahao.baidu.com/s?id=1631546258794879601&wfr=spider&for=pc>; “竺帆咨询CEO黎剑：被误读的印度” (Li Jian, CEO of Zhufan Consulting: Misunderstood India), July 31,2015, <https://guba.eastmoney.com/news,cjpl,191675108.html>)

Chinese Debates on India's Actions

Nevertheless, India's crackdown on Chinese companies has spurred intense debate within China. Some Chinese strategists⁸⁴ (such as Hu Shisheng, director of the South Asia Institute at the China Institute of Contemporary International Relations, and Lou Chunhao,⁸⁵ executive director of the South Asia Institute at the China Institute of Contemporary International Relations) have maintained that India's *Atmanirbhar* (self-reliance) policy, under which steps are being taken to decouple from China economically, is unrealisable and bound to fail.⁸⁶ China, they argue, need not worry for two reasons: first, India's manufacturing industry simply lacks competitiveness, while Chinese companies, with their relatively mature technology and rich practical experience, have an obvious competitive advantage in contracting projects in India.⁸⁷ Second, in fields such as heavy machinery, electromechanical products, telecommunications equipment, and household appliances, it is almost impossible for India to find alternative suppliers.⁸⁸ Therefore, it is argued that despite policy obstacles, producers and consumers in India will eventually turn to Chinese products.⁸⁹ Notably, many Chinese observers have expressed similar views about the US's decoupling/de-risking policies vis-à-vis China and argued that the US economy, just like the Indian economy, cannot survive without "Made in China".⁹⁰

However, the Chinese strategic community's confidence in 'Made in China' is often shaken by their deep anxiety over a perceived overlap of US and Indian interests in weakening China's position in the global supply chain. They feel China should neither underestimate the US determination to marginalise China, nor discount India's ambition to replace China as the next global manufacturing centre.⁹¹

Certain economic indicators are further adding to the Chinese insecurity. It is noted with concern that between fiscal years 2020-21 and 2022-23, although the China-India trade volume remained high, the proportion of the bilateral trade volume in India's total foreign trade has dropped from 8.61 percent to 6.85 percent. India's imports from China accounted for 13.79 percent of its total imports of goods, falling from 16.53 percent.⁹² At the global level, among the goods imported by Walmart—the world's largest retail store—for the US market, the proportion coming from India

Chinese Debates on India's Actions

increased from 2 percent in 2018 to 25 percent in 2023, while that from China dropped from 80 percent to 60 percent in the same period. Again, US Department of Commerce data from 2020 to 2022 shows that US imports from India, as a proportion of total US imports, increased from 1.92 percent to 3 percent, while the corresponding proportion of imports from China in the same period decreased from 17.9 percent to 14.2 percent.⁹³ Although these are preliminary trends, and China-US trade and India-US trade are somewhat incomparable, such figures have alarmed the Chinese side and there is much hue and cry in China's domestic circles over 'Make in India'.

Overall, Chinese observers believe that in the past few years, India's 'Make in India' strategy has indeed led to greater localisation in key areas, such as new energy vehicles, batteries, chemicals and pharmaceuticals⁹⁴. In electronic products, Indian-made mobile phones are a singular success of the ruling Narendra Modi government,⁹⁵ as for years earlier, India hardly had any mobile manufacturing, but is today the second largest mobile maker in the world after China.

This rapid development of India's mobile phone industry, in the Chinese assessment, is being driven by foreign mobile phone companies in India, including global multinationals like Apple and Chinese mobile phone companies that have pushed a large number of supplier companies to move to India from China to ensure local production capacity and cost advantages. News reports in the Chinese media highlight how, since 2013, Noida in Uttar Pradesh has become the epicentre of such manufacturing, attracting mobile phone brands such as Xiaomi, Huawei, OPPO and Transsion; original device manufacturer foundries including Foxconn,^f Wentai, and Haipai; battery makers like Sunwoda; and mobile phone accessories companies like Yingtong, Xiaolin Electronics, and Lianchuang, most of which are headquartered in China.⁹⁶ These companies, it is argued, have together formed a mobile phone industry chain and supply chain network, which propelled India to its current position as the second-largest mobile phone producer.

f Foxconn is based in Taiwan.

Chinese Social Media Outrage

There is considerable online outrage in China about Chinese enterprises that have set up units in India and are seen as facilitating India's Atmanirbhar efforts. They are being called 'traitors' and 'enemy collaborators', who are jeopardising China's national security for 'petty gains'.⁹⁷ Some observers also criticised the Chinese government's short-sightedness in allowing Chinese companies, including state-owned enterprises to build India's infrastructure and industry as part of its BRI. There were strong negative reactions to reports claiming that India's shield tunnelling machines were purchased from China at low prices, and are being used on the Sino-Indian border for Indian military projects targeting China.⁹⁸

Some prominent Chinese voices have joined the chorus. Senior editor Ding Gang recently wrote in People's Daily that the Chinese government should establish policy measures to ensure that core technologies and talents remain within the country, restraining local manufacturers who may follow leading companies like Apple Inc., Xiaomi, and others in moving their production lines to India.⁹⁹ Similar views have been expressed by Zhang Yansheng, former director of the Institute of Foreign Economics at the National Development and Reform Commission, who noted that the new trend of China's industrial and supply chains extending overseas ran the risk of hollowing out Chinese manufacturing.¹⁰⁰ Professor Chen Xin of the Shanghai Advanced School of Finance, Jiao Tong University, has gone further, demanding "systemic policies to prevent India from industrializing".¹⁰¹ He insisted China should not help India in building infrastructure or energy facilities, and should not sell industrial equipment to India.

However, the counterargument coming from a section within the Chinese strategic community suggests that Chinese industries need India for their own survival. "With production capacity reaching a ceiling at home, and China's commodity exports continuing to decline, what should Chinese enterprises do? ...With the Indian market at a stage of economic take-off and having a population larger than China's, which company would not want to transfer its excess production lines to India to get a share of the

Chinese Social Media Outrage

pie?”^g reads an analysis on the Chinese internet, which also denounces social media trolling of Chinese enterprises in India and emphasises that such investments have provided great dividends.¹⁰² It further cautioned that if Xiaomi, Huawei and the like are not present in India, it will only make Apple and Samsung cheaper; if BYD loses India, it will be Tesla’s gain; if Chinese construction and engineering companies like XCMG and LiuGong are absent in the Indian market, it will only make Caterpillar, Komatsu, and Johns Deere cheaper, impacting Chinese companies’ price advantage at the global level.^h This, it argued, would be the exact opposite of the Chinese government’s ‘new globalisation’ vision under the BRI, which exhorts Chinese enterprises to go global and outcompete others in their categories.¹⁰³

To put the Chinese outrage in perspective, it is important to note that since the past few years there has been an ongoing debate within China over the issue of India’s prospective industrialisation and its possible impact on Chinese manufacturing.¹⁰⁴ Earlier, the consensus within China was that ‘Make in India’ brings both threat and opportunity for China—threat in terms of direct competition for Chinese companies in low-end products, and opportunity from the point of view that this can force China to move in a high-end direction. However, in the following years, as an intensifying tech war with the US increasingly frustrated China’s bid towards industrial

g Various articles in the Chinese print media have highlighted how the decline in demand for construction machinery industry, overcapacity and price competition in the domestic electric vehicles and mobile phones market, the rise of production costs and the disruption caused by the COVID-19 pandemic in the last few years, have been forcing Chinese companies – such as LiuGong and XCMG in infrastructure construction, BYD in new energy vehicles, Shein in fast fashion cross-border e-commerce space – to make repeated attempts to enter India. They have argued that ‘compromise’ is inevitable as Chinese enterprises brace up for a new trade model of ‘offshore manufacturing’ or ‘global localisation’. [See, for instance, “中国车企再赴印度(Chinese car companies go to India again)”, *PEdaily*, March 30, 2023, <https://news.pedaily.cn/202303/511078.shtml> or “被印度盯上! 又一家中国企业要“遭殃”? (Targeted by India! Is another Chinese company going to suffer?)”, *tojoyun.com*, <https://www.tojoyun.com/news/shangjieguancha/64af70a18ecc06103a5f04e2>]

h In Chinese estimates, even without considering tariffs, manufacturing in India will be cheaper than manufacturing in China. If tariffs are taken into account, the price difference may reach about 30%. [See, for instance, “中国企业为啥都选择涌入印度, Why do Chinese companies choose to flock to India?”, *Yicai*, December 24, 2015, <https://www.yicai.com/news/4730267.html>]

Chinese Social Media Outrage

upgradation, the threat factor associated with ‘Make in India’ got particularly amplified. Now, there seems to be great sensitivity within the Chinese society over the issue of the relocation of industries from China to India (either actively or passively), just as China’s own path to industrial upgradation remains mired in uncertainties. It is not surprising that there are demands for the Chinese government to restrain Chinese companies from investing in India,¹⁰⁵ or at least to prevent them from establishing a complete industrial chain in India.¹⁰⁶

“There is great sensitivity within Chinese society over the issue of the relocation of industries from China to India.”

China and Chinese enterprises are increasingly facing a dilemma vis-à-vis India. If Chinese enterprises pull out of India, as domestic public opinion demands, it will not only hit China economically, but will also amount to a triumph of India's Atmanirbhar initiative, which attempts to reduce India's dependence on China. The bigger apprehension for China is that India's experience may serve as a template for other nations that want to reduce their dependence on China, and facilitate the rise of a US-Europe-India industrial cooperation model that bypasses China.¹⁰⁷

On the other hand, if Chinese enterprises choose to stay in India, it is feared that they will be forced to contribute to the country's economic take-off, helping it grow into another world factory and replacing China as a global manufacturing hub. Whatever these companies earn in India, they might be forced to spend in India without being able to repatriate the profits home.¹⁰⁸

So far, Chinese enterprises have been reluctant to give up on the Indian market. Faced with various bans in Western markets and sluggish domestic consumption, they seem to have little choice but to prioritise India and engage in a long-term game with the Indian government. [ORF](#)

Antara Ghosal Singh is a Fellow at ORF's Strategic Studies Programme.

- 1 Pranav Dixit, “ED unveils fresh raids on Chinese-backed fintech firms in money laundering probe”, *Business Today*, January 3, 2024, <https://www.businesstoday.in/technology/news/story/ed-unveils-fresh-raids-on-chinese-backed-fintech-firms-in-money-laundering-probe-411654-2024-01-03>
- 2 “After ED Arrests, China Advises India to Create 'Non-Discriminatory' Business Environment”, *The Wire*, December 26, 2023, <https://thewire.in/diplomacy/enforcement-directorate-china-vivo-india>
- 3 Zhou Jin, “India urged to properly handle ties with China”, *China Daily*, January 20, 2024, <https://www.chinadaily.com.cn/a/202401/20/WS65ab04a4a3105f21a507d57d.html>
- 4 Deng Yaqing, “2015印度营商投资巡讲圆满结束投资印度成中国企业新共识 (Investing in India has become a new consensus among Chinese companies)”, *Beijing Review*, July 27, 2015, http://www.beijingreview.com.cn/caijing/201507/t20150727_800035762.html
- 5 Ananth Krishnan, “Following The money: China Inc’s growing stake in India-China relations” *Brookings India Impact Series* 032020-01, March 2020. Brookings Institution India Center, https://www.brookings.edu/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/China-Inc%E2%80%99s-growing-stake-in-India-China-relations_F.pdf
- 6 Ananth Krishnan, “Following The money: China Inc’s growing stake in India-China relations.”
- 7 “2016最新中资企业印度生存报告 (The latest 2016 report on the survival of Chinese-funded enterprises in India)”, *China Council for Promotion of International Trade*, October 9, 2016, https://www.hnccpit.org/mch2_qtln/qtln_gbzl/gjlb_yz/zg_zhongguo_6372/xw_6707/201610/t20161014_14216.html
- 8 “第一份驻印中企生存环境调研结果出炉，围观中国互联网在印度的真实发展情况 (A Survey on Chinese Business in India, April 2019)”, *Zhudao*, April 23, 2019, <https://baijiahao.baidu.com/s?id=1631546258794879601&wfr=spider&for=pc>
- 9 “第一份驻印中企生存环境调研结果出炉，围观中国互联网在印度的真实发展情况 (A Survey on Chinese Business in India, April 2019).”
- 10 “2019年6月，中国企业在印度注册公司数已达6000余家 (In June 2019, the number of Chinese companies registered in India reached more than 6,000)”, December 20, 2019, https://www.sohu.com/a/361723339_100115468
- 11 “2019年6月，中国企业在印度注册公司数已达6000余家 (In June 2019, the number of Chinese companies registered in India reached more than 6,000)”, *Sohu*, December 20, 2019, https://www.sohu.com/a/361723339_100115468
- 12 “限制中国投资两周年，中国企业该何去何从——细数中国对印投资 (On the second anniversary of restrictions on Chinese investment, what course should Chinese

- companies take? A detailed breakdown of China's investment in India)", *Baijiahao*, June 30, 2022, <https://baijiahao.baidu.com/s?id=1737056228794795595&wfr=spider&for=pc>
- 13 Zhang Xingjun, "记者亲历观察：中国手机产业在印度的发展 (Reporter's Personal Observation: The Development of China's Mobile Phone Industry in India)", *Baijiahao*, January 2, 2024, <https://baijiahao.baidu.com/s?id=1786978307698946774&wfr=spider&for=pc>
 - 14 Zhang Xingjun, "记者亲历观察：中国手机产业在印度的发展 (Reporter's Personal Observation: The Development of China's Mobile Phone Industry in India)."
 - 15 "Chinese Brands Capture a Record 66% of the Indian Smartphone Market in Q1 2019", *Counterpoint*, April 26, 2019, <https://www.counterpointresearch.com/insights/chinese-brands-capture-record-66-indian-smartphone-market-q1-2019/>
 - 16 Zhang Xingjun, "记者亲历观察：中国手机产业在印度的发展 (Reporter's Personal Observation: The Development of China's Mobile Phone Industry in India)", *Baijiahao*, January 2, 2024, <https://baijiahao.baidu.com/s?id=1786978307698946774&wfr=spider&for=pc>
 - 17 "Chinese smartphone brands account for 80% of the Indian market", *GizChina*, July 18, 2020, <https://www.gizchina.com/2020/07/18/chinese-smartphone-brands-account-for-80-of-the-indian-market/>; "We ain't leaving India': Chinese firms on 'Boycott China' call", *Business Today*, August 31, 2020, <https://www.businesstoday.in/latest/economy-politics/story/chinese-firms-committed-to-indian-market-despite-strong-boycott-china-sentiment-271719-2020-08-31>
 - 18 Zhang Xingjun, "记者亲历观察：中国手机产业在印度的发展 (Reporter's Personal Observation: The Development of China's Mobile Phone Industry in India)", *Baijiahao*, January 2, 2024, <https://baijiahao.baidu.com/s?id=1786978307698946774&wfr=spider&for=pc>
 - 19 Zhang Xingjun, "记者亲历观察：中国手机产业在印度的发展 (Reporter's Personal Observation: The Development of China's Mobile Phone Industry in India)."
 - 20 "SAIC Motor takes over General Motors' closed India plant", *Nikkei Asia*, July 7, 2017, <https://asia.nikkei.com/Business/SAIC-Motor-takes-over-General-Motors-closed-India-plant#:~:text=The%20company%20has%20signed%20a,in%20it%20over%20five%20years.>
 - 21 Niyati Parikh & Parag Dave, "Is Gujarat becoming India's Detroit for EVs?", *The Times of India*, http://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/articleshow/107613788.cms?utm_source=contentofinterest&utm_medium=text&utm_campaign=cppst; "限制中国投资两周年，中国企业该何去何从——细数中国对印投(On the second anniversary of restrictions on Chinese investment, what course should Chinese companies take? A detailed breakdown of China's investment in India)", June 30, 2022, <https://baijiahao.baidu.com/s?id=1737056228794795595&wfr=spider&for=pc>

- 22 “China's Great Wall agrees to buy General Motors' India plant”, *Reuters*, January 17, 2020, <https://www.gwm-global.com/news/562.html>
- 23 “Great Wall India Research & Development”, *Great Wall India R&D*, <https://gwmidc.in/>
- 24 “Foton PMI to invest Rs 500 crore to set up electric bus manufacturing unit in Pune”, *The Economic Times*, November 7, 2019, <https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/industry/auto/lcv-hcv/foton-pmi-to-invest-rs-500-crore-to-set-up-electric-bus-manufacturing-unit-in-pune/articleshow/71952592.cms?from=mdr>
- 25 “China's Changan Automobile Could Enter India”, *Business Standard*, July 11, 2016, https://www.business-standard.com/article/news-cd/china-s-changan-automobile-could-enter-india-116071100681_1.html
- 26 “Chinese car maker Chery planning to enter India, may join hands with Tata Motors”, *The Economic Times*, September 20, 2017, https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/chinas-car-exporter-chery-is-planning-an-india-trip/articleshow/60761612.cms?utm_source=contentofinterest&utm_medium=text&utm_campaign=cppst
- 27 “限制中国投资两周年，中国企业该何去何从——细数中国对印投资 (On the second anniversary of restrictions on Chinese investment, what course should Chinese companies take? A detailed breakdown of China's investment in India)”, *Baijiahao*, June 30, 2022, <https://baijiahao.baidu.com/s?id=1737056228794795595&wfr=spider&for=pc>
- 28 “限制中国投资两周年，中国企业该何去何从——细数中国对印投资 (On the second anniversary of restrictions on Chinese investment, what course should Chinese companies take? A detailed breakdown of China's investment in India).”
- 29 “印度电力市场分析及中国电力企业在印面临的机遇与挑战 (Analysis of the Indian power market and the opportunities and challenges faced by Chinese power companies in India)”, *Baijiahao*, September 15, 2021, <https://baijiahao.baidu.com/s?id=1710940414964643403&wfr=spider&for=pc>
- 30 “限制中国投资两周年，中国企业该何去何从——细数中国对印投资 (On the second anniversary of restrictions on Chinese investment, what course should Chinese companies take? A detailed breakdown of China's investment in India)”, *Baijiahao*, June 30, 2022
- 31 “印度电力市场分析及中国电力企业在印面临的机遇与挑战” (Analysis of the Indian power market and the opportunities and challenges faced by Chinese power companies in India),” *Baijiahao*, September 15, 2021, <https://baijiahao.baidu.com/s?id=1710940414964643403&wfr=spider&for=pc>
- 32 “印度电力市场分析及中国电力企业在印面临的机遇与挑战 (Analysis of the Indian power market and the opportunities and challenges faced by Chinese power companies in India).”

- 33 “印度电力市场分析及中国电力企业在印面临的机遇与挑战 (Analysis of the Indian power market and the opportunities and challenges faced by Chinese power companies in India).”
- 34 “中国企业为啥都选择涌入印度(Why do Chinese companies choose to flock to India?)”, *Yicai*, December 24, 2015, <https://www.yicai.com/news/4730267.html>
- 35 “中国企业为啥都选择涌入印度 (Why do Chinese companies choose to flock to India?).”
- 36 “中国企业为啥都选择涌入印度 (Why do Chinese companies choose to flock to India?).”
- 37 “第一份驻印中企生存环境调研结果出炉，围观中国互联网在印度的真实发展情况 (The first survey results of the living environment of Chinese companies in India are released, looking at the real development of China’s Internet in India)”, April 23, 2019, <https://baijiahao.baidu.com/s?id=1631546258794879601&wfr=spider&for=pc>
- 38 Rajesh Mascarenhas, “China’s central bank buys 1% stake in HDFC”, *The Economic Times*, April 13, 2020, https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/markets/stocks/news/chinas-central-bank-holds-1-stake-in-hdfc/articleshow/75104998.cms?utm_source=contentofinterest&utm_medium=text&utm_campaign=cppst
- 39 “Covid-19: Govt steps in to curb opportunistic takeovers of Indian companies”, *The Hindu Business Line*, April 18, 2020, <https://www.thehindubusinessline.com/companies/covid-19-govt-steps-in-to-curb-opportunistic-takeovers-of-indian-companies/article31375385.ece>
- 40 “Deconstructing Press Note 3 (2020 Series) of the FDI Policy: Security, Clarity and Exiguity”, *Lexology*, May 26 2021, <https://www.lexology.com/library/detail.aspx?g=e376897b-eaba-44b0-8197-b41a28f17ad2>
- 41 Harsh V. Pant, “India Cracks Down on Chinese Investment as Mood Turns Against Beijing”, *Foreign Policy*, April 28, 2020, <https://foreignpolicy.com/2020/04/28/india-china-fdi-restrictions-coronavirus/>
- 42 “It was India that first banned Chinese app TikTok over security concerns”, *The Statesman*, March 14, 2024 , <https://www.thestatesman.com/world/it-was-india-that-first-banned-chinese-app-tiktok-over-security-concerns-1503279499.html>
- 43 “印度制造升级之路，让在印中企“无路可走(The road to upgrading manufacturing in India leaves Chinese companies in India with no good alternative)”, *Baijiahao*, June 15, 2023, <https://baijiahao.baidu.com/s?id=1768782335080818163&wfr=spider&for=pc>
- 44 “Chinese firm’s Rs 470 cr contract to be cancelled, says railway amid Ladakh face-off”, *Hindustan Times*, June 18, 2020, <https://www.hindustantimes.com/india-news/railway-psu-cancels-contract-with-chinese-company-cites-poor-progress-in-work/story-6Rl55F0aSllgoufI0mfo9N.html>

- 45 “Prior approval for power supply equipment imports from China mandatory: Govt”, *Indian Express*, July 3, 2020, <https://indianexpress.com/article/india/prior-approval-for-power-supply-equipment-imports-from-china-mandatory-govt-6488661/>
- 46 “Prior approval for power supply equipment imports from China mandatory: Govt.”
- 47 “Chinese company disqualified for Vande Bharat trainsets project”, *The Economic Times*, December 24, 2020, https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/industry/transportation/railways/chinese-company-disqualified-for-vande-bharat-trainsets-project/articleshow/79940407.cms?utm_source=contentofinterest&utm_medium=text&utm_campaign=cppst
- 48 “Won’t allow Chinese companies to participate in highway projects: Gadkari”, *Hindustan Times*, July 1, 2020, <https://www.hindustantimes.com/india-news/won-t-allow-chinese-companies-to-participate-in-highway-projects-gadkari/story-zGpPCbnUNit7akBeLTXzyI.html>,
- 49 “India builds a huge wall to stop Chinese firms from getting govt contracts”, *Hindustan Times*, July 24, 2020, <https://www.hindustantimes.com/india-news/eye-on-china-india-makes-it-tough-for-foreign-firms-to-bid-for-govt-projects/story-DJ2gFGcWLwwZL1eFhXreVO.html>
- 50 “DoT amends telecom licenses for procuring equipment from trusted sources”, *The Economic Times*, March 10, 2021, https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/industry/telecom/telecom-news/dot-amends-telecom-licenses-for-procuring-equipment-from-trusted-sources/articleshow/81431579.cms?utm_source=contentofinterest&utm_medium=text&utm_campaign=cppst
- 51 “India excludes Huawei and ZTE from participating in 5G trials”, *Nikkei Asia*, May 5, 2021 <https://asia.nikkei.com/Spotlight/5G-networks/India-excludes-Huawei-and-ZTE-from-participating-in-5G-trials>
- 52 “Be objective and fair: China after IOA drops Li Ning as kit sponsor for Olympics”, *The Times of India*, June 9, 2021, http://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/articleshow/83367419.cms?utm_source=contentofinterest&utm_medium=text&utm_campaign=cppst
- 53 Zhang Yongyi, “电厂 | 资金扣押、高管被捕，为什么中国手机公司仍不放弃印度市场? (With funds seized and executives arrested, why do Chinese mobile phone companies still not give up on the Indian market?)”, December 26, 2023, *Jiemian.com*, <https://www.jiemian.com/article/10595009.html>
- 54 “Appoint Indians as CEOs, COOs’, Centre tells Chinese handset manufacturers”, *Money Control*, June 13, 2023, <https://www.moneycontrol.com/news/technology/appoint-indians-as-ceos-coos-centre-tells-chinese-handset-manufacturers-10786141.html>

- 55 “Production Linked Incentive Schemes for 14 key sectors aim to enhance India’s manufacturing capabilities and exports”, *PIB*, August 2, 2023, <https://pib.gov.in/PressReleaseIframePage.aspx?PRID=1945155>
- 56 “PLI scheme can generate pharma exports of over US\$26 bn”, *Indbiz.gov.in*, July 27, 2021, <https://indbiz.gov.in/pli-scheme-can-generate-pharma-exports-of-over-us26-bn/>
- 57 Sankalp Phartiyal , “India outlines \$10 bln plan to woo global chip makers”, *Reuters*, December 15, 2021, <https://www.reuters.com/world/china/india-unveils-10-bln-plan-woo-semiconductor-display-makers-2021-12-15/>
- 58 “\$300 billion electronics production in few years a realistic target: IT Minister” *The Times of India*, February 10, 2024, http://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/articleshow/107580109.cms?utm_source=contentofinterest&utm_medium=text&utm_campaign=cppst
- 59 “India set to achieve \$300 bn electronics manufacturing target: MoS IT”, *Business Standard*, June 20, 2023, https://www.business-standard.com/economy/news/india-set-to-achieve-300-bn-electronics-manufacturing-target-mos-it-123062000277_1.html
- 60 “Brief overview of China-India economic and trade cooperation from January to December 2021”, *Sina finance*, March 21, 2022, <http://finance.sina.com.cn/jjxw/2022-03-22/doc-imcwipih9898805.shtml>
- 61 “中国企业的“印度困局”(The “India Dilemma” of Chinese Enterprises)”, *Sina Technology*, August 3, 2022, <https://baijiahao.baidu.com/s?id=1740120870747706348&wfr=spider&for=pc>
- 62 Zhang Yongyi, “电厂 | 资金扣押、高管被捕，为什么中国手机公司仍不放弃印度市场? (With funds seized and executives arrested, why do Chinese mobile phone companies still not give up on the Indian market?)”, December 26, 2023, *Jiemian.com*, <https://www.jiemian.com/article/10595009.html>
- 63 “印度制造升级之路，让在印中企“无路可走(The road to upgrading manufacturing in India leaves Chinese companies in India with no good alternative)”, *Baijiahao*, June 15, 2023, <https://baijiahao.baidu.com/s?id=1768782335080818163&wfr=spider&for=pc>
- 64 “Chinese smartphone brands account for 80% of the Indian market”, *Gizchina*, July 18, 2020, <https://www.gizchina.com/2020/07/18/chinese-smartphone-brands-account-for-80-of-the-indian-market/>
- 65 “India Smartphone Market Remains Flat in 2023; Apple Shipments Cross 10 Million for First Time”, *Counterpoint*, January 31, 2024, <https://www.counterpointresearch.com/insights/india-smartphone-market-q4-2023/>
- 66 “对话竺帆咨询CEO黎剑，解读印度市场的现状与未来 (Dialogue with Li Jian, CEO of Zhufan Consulting, interpreting the current situation and future of the Indian market)”, *Baijiahao*, October 13, 2021, <https://baijiahao.baidu.com/s?id=1713499070233764529&wfr=spider&for=pc>

- 67 “对话竺帆咨询CEO黎剑，解读印度市场的现状与未来 (Dialogue with Li Jian, CEO of Zhufan Consulting, interpreting the current situation and future of the Indian market)”, *Baijiahao*, October 13, 2021, <https://baijiahao.baidu.com/s?id=1713499070233764529&wfr=spider&for=pc>
- 68 “对话竺帆咨询CEO黎剑，解读印度市场的现状与未来 (Dialogue with Li Jian, CEO of Zhufan Consulting, interpreting the current situation and future of the Indian market).”
- 69 Ragini Saxena , Ruchi Bhatia, “India Blocks \$1 Billion Bid By China’s BYD to Set Up EV Factory”, *Bloomberg*, July 24, 2023, <https://ca.news.yahoo.com/finance/news/india-blocks-1-billion-bid-073600303.html>
- 70 Amber Bannerjee, “China's Great Wall Motors abandons Rs 7,895 crore project in India: Here's why”, *The Times of India*, July 2, 2022, <https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/auto/news/chinas-great-wall-motors-abandons-rs-7895-crore-project-in-india-heres-why/articleshow/92615403.cms?from=mdr>
- 71 “JSW Group to acquire 35% stake in MG Motor India”, *The Economic Times*, November 30, 2023, <https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/industry/auto/auto-news/jsw-group-to-acquire-35-stake-in-mg-motor-india/articleshow/105633908.cms?from=mdr>
- 72 “中国企业投资印度短期遇冷后疫情时代仍有合作空间 (There is still room for cooperation in the post-epidemic era, after Chinese companies’ investment in India suffered a short-term cooling off)”, *Baijiahao*, January 27, 2023, <https://baijiahao.baidu.com/s?id=1723040808707950864&wfr=spider&for=pc>
- 73 Yin Yeping, “‘Matchmaking’ for Chinese, Indian firms by New Delhi risks disrupting market”, *Global Times*, February 20, 2024, <https://www.globaltimes.cn/page/202402/1307386.shtml#:~:text=Before%202020%2C%20there%20were%20more,data%20from%20industry%20insiders%20showed.>
- 74 “印度冻结vivo资金近4亿元，逾500家中资企业在印“渡劫”(India froze nearly 400 million yuan in vivo funds, and more than 500 Chinese-funded companies are "surviving the crisis" in India)”, *36kr.com*
- 75 Ma Jingjing, Yin Yeping and Zhang Weilan, “India's unscrupulous suppression of Chinese firms to harm its own industrial development: experts”, December 24, 2023, *Global Times*, <https://www.globaltimes.cn/page/202312/1304227.shtml>
- 76 “India’s reckless assault on Chinese firms to impede its own economic progress: experts”, *Global Times*, October 11, 2023, <https://www.globaltimes.cn/page/202310/1299659.shtml>
- 77 “小米OV等外企恐被印度国有化，霸王条款逼近! (Xiaomi OV and other foreign companies may be nationalized by India, and despotic terms approaching!)”, *Qushuo.com Review*, September 4, 2023, <https://www.163.com/dy/article/IDOPDND505563XON.html>

- 78 Zhou Jin, "India urged to properly handle ties with China", *China Daily*, January 20, 2024, <https://www.chinadaily.com.cn/a/202401/20/WS65ab04a4a3105f21a507d57d.html>
- 79 Wang Yongzhong, "中国对印度能源投资的状况、风险与对策 (The status, risks and countermeasures of China's energy investment in India)", August 11, 2017, *Sohu.com*, https://www.sohu.com/a/163865751_729263#google_vignette
- 80 Shi Jiangtao, "China's foreign policy chief Wang Yi tells India border dispute should not define relationship", *SCMP*, July 17, 2023, <https://www.scmp.com/news/china/diplomacy/article/3227899/chinas-foreign-policy-chief-wang-yi-tells-india-border-dispute-should-not-define-relationship>
- 81 "Peace in border areas important, but it is not the "whole story" of bilateral ties: Chinese envoy amid Ladakh standoff", *The Economic Times*, September 24, 2021, <https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/news/defence/peace-in-border-areas-important-but-it-is-not-the-whole-story-of-bilateral-ties-chinese-envoy-amid-ladakh-standoff/articleshow/86489313.cms?from=mdr>
- 82 "China, India need to support each other's success: Chinese envoy Ma Jia", *Business Standard*, September 26, 2023, https://www.business-standard.com/india-news/china-india-need-to-support-each-other-s-success-chinese-envoy-ma-jia-123092601010_1.html
- 83 "India, China have strong economic, cultural connections says Chinese envoy", *Business Standard*, February 05, 2024, https://www.business-standard.com/economy/news/india-china-have-strong-economic-cultural-connections-says-chinese-envoy-124020500606_1.html
- 84 Hu Shisheng, "印度“对华产业替代”是搞不成的 (India's "industrial substitution" of China is unrealizable)", May 18, 2022, <http://comment.cfnisnet.com/2022/0518/1325622.html>
- 85 Lou Chunhao, "“印度制造”能取代“中国制造” (Can "Made in India" replace "Made in China"?)", *Cfnisnet.com*, January 31, 2024, <http://comment.cfnisnet.com/2024/0103/1329248.html>
- 86 "Intensifying crackdowns targeting Chinese companies will backfire on India's economy", *Global Times*, October 21, 2023, <https://www.globaltimes.cn/page/202310/1300915.shtml>
- 87 "印度电力市场分析及中国电力企业在印面临的机遇与挑战 (Analysis of the Indian power market and the opportunities and challenges faced by Chinese power companies in India)", *Baijiahao*, September 15, 2021, <https://baijiahao.baidu.com/s?id=1710940414964643403&wfr=spider&for=pc>
- 88 "印度电力市场分析及中国电力企业在印面临的机遇与挑战 (Analysis of the Indian power market and the opportunities and challenges faced by Chinese power companies in India)."

- 89 “印度电力市场分析及中国电力企业在印面临的机遇与挑战 (Analysis of the Indian power market and the opportunities and challenges faced by Chinese power companies in India).”
- 90 Ding Gang, “US cannot ‘decouple’ from Made in China”, *Global Times*, December 08, 2021, <https://www.globaltimes.cn/page/202112/1240984.shtml>
- 91 “从苹果到波音，印度替代中国成为世界工厂的野心靠谱吗？ (From Apple to Boeing, is India’s ambition to replace China and become the world’s factory reliable?)”, *Baijiahao*, March 3, 2023, <https://baijiahao.baidu.com/s?id=1760451215184926935&wfr=spider&for=pc>
- 92 Lou Chunhao, ““印度制造”能取代“中国制造” (Can "Made in India" replace "Made in China"?)”, *Cfisnet.com*, January 31, 2024, <http://comment.cfisnet.com/2024/0103/1329248.html>
- 93 Lou Chunhao, ““印度制造”能取代“中国制造” (Can "Made in India" replace "Made in China"?)”.
- 94 Hu Shisheng, “印度“对华产业替代”是搞不成的 (India’s “industrial substitution” of China is unrealizable)”, May 18, 2022, <http://comment.cfisnet.com/2022/0518/1325622.html>
- 95 “Controlling technology transfer to counter India's illegal treatment toward Chinese companies”, *Global Times*, December 27, 2023, <https://www.globaltimes.cn/page/202312/1304399.shtml>
- 96 “苹果“脆弱”的印度野心，Copy中国能成功吗？ (Apple’s “fragile” India ambitions, can ‘Copy China’ succeed?)”, *Baijiahao*, May 31, 2023, <https://baijiahao.baidu.com/s?id=1767402643737239484&wfr=spider&for=pc>
- 97 “中国企业投资印度是资敌叛国，还是为了养活并发展自己？ (Are Chinese companies investing in India committing treason, or are they doing it to support and develop themselves?)”, November 9, 2023, <https://baijiahao.baidu.com/s?id=1782052067081349153&wfr=spider&for=p>
- 98 “美国力推印度取代中国，中国须阻止印度工业化 (The United States urges India to replace China, China must prevent India from industrializing)”, *Sohu.com*, June 29, 2023, https://mil.sohu.com/a/692442758_99910440
- 99 Ding Gang, “全面观察认识印度制造业 (Comprehensive observation and understanding of India’s manufacturing industry)”, *Baijiahao*, December 27, 2023, <https://baijiahao.baidu.com/s?id=1787569788926538699&wfr=spider&for=pc>
- 100 “「问诊2024中国经济」张燕生：脱钩还是合作？中国经济突围面临一场拔河赛("Inquiry on China's Economy in 2024" , Zhang Yansheng: Decoupling or cooperation? China's economic breakthrough faces a tug-of-war)”, *Guancha.com*, February 1, 2024, <https://baijiahao.baidu.com/s?id=1789653811577402164&wfr=spider&for=pc>

- 101 “上海交通大学陈欣教授：中国应该阻止印度工业化 (Professor Chen Xin of Shanghai Jiao Tong University: China should prevent India from industrializing)”, *v.ifeng.com*, July 5, 2023, <https://tech.ifeng.com/c/8RATfU4xkft>
- 102 “中国企业投资印度是资敌叛国，还是为了养活并发展自己? (Are Chinese companies investing in India committing treason, or are they doing it to support and develop themselves?)”, November 9, 2023, <https://baijiahao.baidu.com/s?id=1782052067081349153&wfr=spider&for=p>
- 103 Chen Jing, “学习中国建立大市场内生增长模式，我们需要正视印度经济崛起 (To learn from China’s endogenous growth model in establishing a large market, we need to face up to the rise of India’s economy)”, *Guancha.com*, February 2, 2024, , https://www.guancha.cn/chenjing/2024_02_02_724223_s.shtml
- 104 “中国企业为啥都选择涌入印度 (Why do Chinese companies choose to flock to India?)”, *Yicai.com*, December 24, 2015, <https://www.yicai.com/news/4730267.html>
- 105 “资金扣押、高管被捕，为什么中国手机公司仍不放弃印度市场? (With funds seized and executives arrested, why do Chinese mobile phone companies still not give up on the Indian market?)”, *Jiemian.com*, December 26, 2023, <https://www.jiemian.com/article/10595009.html>
- 106 “Chinese companies must understand the general trend and cannot establish a complete industrial chain in India”, *Baijiahao*, June 19, 2023, <https://baijiahao.baidu.com/s?id=1769097517276726841&wfr=spider&for=pc>
- 107 Pan Yuanyuan, “Chinese response to Indian investment curbs may be nuanced”, *China Daily*, August 10, 2020, http://eniwep.csn.cn/hot_topics/202008/t20200824_5173509.shtml
- 108 Mao Keji, “印度老割外资“韭菜”，受伤的可不止中企 (India for long has mistreated foreign investment, it’s not just Chinese companies that are hurt)”, *cfisnet.com*, July 27, 2023, <http://comment.cfisnet.com/2023/0727/1328341.html>



Ideas . Forums . Leadership . Impact

20, Rouse Avenue Institutional Area,
New Delhi - 110 002, INDIA

Ph. : +91-11-35332000. Fax : +91-11-35332005

E-mail: contactus@orfonline.org

Website: www.orfonline.org