# DIGITALES ARCHIV

Maalel, Nabil

Article

Renewable energy transition, trade openness, and CO2 emissions nexus in the middle east

**Provided in Cooperation with:** International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy (IJEEP)

*Reference:* Maalel, Nabil (2024). Renewable energy transition, trade openness, and CO2 emissions nexus in the middle east. In: International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy 14 (3), S. 434 - 441. https://www.econjournals.com/index.php/ijeep/article/download/15819/7895/36971. doi:10.32479/ijeep.15819.

This Version is available at: http://hdl.handle.net/11159/653658

Kontakt/Contact ZBW – Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft/Leibniz Information Centre for Economics Düsternbrooker Weg 120 24105 Kiel (Germany) E-Mail: *rights[at]zbw.eu* https://www.zbw.eu/econis-archiv/

#### Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Dieses Dokument darf zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden. Sie dürfen dieses Dokument nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, aufführen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen. Sofern für das Dokument eine Open-Content-Lizenz verwendet wurde, so gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

https://zbw.eu/econis-archiv/termsofuse

#### Terms of use:

This document may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes. You are not to copy it for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the document in public, to perform, distribute or otherwise use the document in public. If the document is made available under a Creative Commons Licence you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the licence.





Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL O

International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy

ISSN: 2146-4553

available at http://www.econjournals.com

International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy, 2024, 14(3), 434-441.



# **Renewable Energy Transition, Trade Openness, and CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions Nexus in the Middle East**

# Nabil Maalel<sup>1,2</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Department of Finance, College of Business Administration, Prince Sattam Bin Abdulaziz University, Al kharj, Saudi Arabia, <sup>2</sup>Ecole Supérieure des Sciences Economiques et Commerciale de Tunis, Université de Tunis, Tunisia. \*Email: nabilmaalel@hotmail.com

Received: 02 January 2024

Accepted: 04 April 2024

DOI: https://doi.org/10.32479/ijeep.15819

#### ABSTRACT

Reducing pollutant emissions during the global energy transition from fossil fuels to renewables is a significant endeavor. Nonetheless, a significant portion of the Middle East (ME) economy continues to be based mostly on the extraction and use of fossil fuels, posing a threat to the environment in the area. Thus, the current study examined the impact of income growth, trade openness (TO), and the Renewable Energy Transition (RET) on  $CO_2$  emissions in 12 Middle East nations, from 2000 to 2019. The findings demonstrated that the ME area contains the Environmental Kuznets Curve. RET decreased emissions of  $CO_2$ . Therefore, the transition to renewable energy reduced emissions in this ME region. On the other hand, TO enhances  $CO_2$  emissions. For the ME region, TO is concerned with the environment. The research recommends a quick RET to safeguard the environment from  $CO_2$  emissions.

**Keywords:** Trade Openness; Renewable Energy Transition; CO<sub>2</sub> emissions; Middle East **JEL Classifications:** F14, Q20, Q50

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

To reduce pollution emissions in any sector or area, the Renewable Energy Transition (RET) process appears to be essential. Nonetheless, the majority of the economies in the Middle East (ME) still depend on the production and use of fossil fuels. For example, over 30% of oil resources and over 20% of natural gas resources are found in these economies (BP STAT, 2023). Similarly, in terms of fossil fuel output, the Middle East region occupies a prominent position. On the other hand, since 2000, the majority of Middle Eastern economies have worked to switch from fossil fuels to renewable energy sources; nonetheless, fewer than 1% of energy might still come from renewable sources (IRENA, 2021). Furthermore, the area accounts for more than 5% of global pollutant emissions worldwide (Global Carbon Atlas, 2023). A total of US\$ 0.765 billion in exports and US\$ 0.668 billion in imports in 2020 defined the ME's foreign trade dynamics. According to data from the World Integrated Trade Solution (2023), the region has the main traded commodities with environmental problems. A large amount of trade activity is accounted for by the extensive energy usage. This implies that while imports may potentially raise emissions into the region because of their energy-intensive nature, exports from the ME region may also cause emissions to enter the region (Mahmood et al., 2022a; 2022b).

The topic of whether or not this little percentage of renewable energy helps reduce pollution emissions is raised by the scant progress made in the transition to renewable energy. How strong of a relationship is it to develop future renewable transition plans based on historical experience if this relationship is statistically significant? Hence, this research would help in devising practical policies for the renewable transition of the Middle East and become a source of a practical solution in the region. However, this study also adds empirically to the Middle East's energy literature, as there is a dearth of thorough studies emphasizing the significance

This Journal is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

of the region's shift to renewable energy sources. Abbasi et al. (2021) in Thailand, Adebayo et al. (2021) in Argentina, Conteh et al. (2021) in South Africa, Ben Jebli et al. (2015) in Africa, Anwar et al. (2021) in Asia, Biswas et al. (2023) in Bangladesh, Erdogan et al. (2020) in OECD countries, Hdom (2019) in South America, Koc and Bulus (2020) in South Korea, and Pata and Caglar (2021) in China are a few previous studies that tested this hypothesis. Nonetheless, there hasn't been much research done on the Middle East in this setting, and this study tries to close this gap in the literature. While some of the research cited has taken the function of trade openness (TO) seriously, others have not. To evaluate the renewable transition hypothesis, the current study additionally takes trade openness into account.

Natural resources are abounding in the ME region, especially reserves of oil and natural gas. Although the mining of these resources has boosted economic growth, worries about their effects on the environment have been raised. The energy industry is a major contributor to the region's emissions, as noted by Abbas et al. (2018). The global energy transition is an important effort to reduce pollution emissions. However, a large number of Middle Eastern economies still primarily rely on fossil fuels, endangering the region's environment. Making the switch to renewable energy might help drastically cut pollution emissions. The goal of this study is to measure how the Middle East's switch to renewable energy has affected environmental emissions. The aim is to obtain a thorough comprehension of the degree to which a transition of this kind can aid in reducing emissions of pollutants. The research also attempts to develop optimal strategies that might facilitate and expedite the region's adoption of RET. The research also acknowledges the contribution that trade liberalization has made to the Middle East's transition to greener energy technologies. Therefore, it needs to be carefully considered how trade openness is affecting this shift. The research's conclusions are highly relevant to policy since they can help direct efforts to move Middle Eastern economies toward a shift to renewable energy sources. By doing this, the area may significantly advance toward accomplishing the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), paving the way for a future that is both ecologically benign and sustainable. Therefore, from 2000 to 2019, the current study examined the effects of economic growth, TO, and RET on CO, emissions in 12 ME economies.

# **2. LITERATURE REVIEW**

Six nations were the subject of a comprehensive analysis carried out by Azam et al. (2022) that covered the years 1975–2018. According to their findings, variables that contributed to higher  $CO_2$ emissions were urban population development, industrialization, increased trade, and higher energy usage. It's interesting to note that the study found a moderating effect on emissions from higher income levels. India from 1980 to 2018 was examined by Jiao et al. (2021). According to the study, higher exports and innovations were associated with higher  $CO_2$  emissions, whereas lower exports and rising oil prices were associated with lower emissions. Data from G7 countries was examined by Sharif et al. (2022). Their results made it clear that financial incentives, trade, and green breakthroughs were linked to lower  $CO_2$  emissions. Saqib et al. (2022) examined seven of the world's largest economies, focusing on the years 1990–2020. Their research showed that the reduction of the ecological footprint was mostly dependent on innovation and renewable energy consumption (REC). South Asian nations were examined by Tariq et al. (2022) between 1981 and 2018. According to their research, greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions rose due to exports, economic growth, and overall trade. On the other hand, elements that have been linked to a decrease in GHG emissions include sophisticated industrial technology, imports, and the adoption of green energy. Ahmed et al. (2019) examined eight economies. According to their analysis, increasing exports were linked to higher  $CO_2$  emissions. These revelations highlight the complex interplay of trade, economic variables, and emissions, underscoring the necessity for sophisticated approaches to environmental problems.

Anser et al. (2020) found that TO raised GHG and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions during their 1975–2018 examination in Saudi Arabia. Notably, it was linked to the export of metals and ores. Furthermore, higher CO<sub>2</sub> and GHG emissions were associated with higher power use and advances in financial market development (FMD). Growth in the economy was positively correlated with CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, and the value added by industry led to an increase in it. Analogously, Andriamahery and Qamruzzaman (2022) investigated the effects of overall trade and energy innovation in Tunisia and Morocco from 1980 to 2018. Their research showed a bidirectional relationship, meaning that energy innovation and overall trade both influenced and were influenced by environmental quality. Moreover, their results verified that the Environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC) existed in both nations. Pham et al. (2020) determined the existence of EKC after investigating the dynamics of environmental quality in emerging nations. Furthermore, their study revealed a complex relationship in which merchandised imports showed a declining trend in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions while merchandised exports showed a rising tendency. Rana and Sharma (2019) looked at causal relationships in India between 1982 and 2013, identifying the EKC.

Numerous research studies have emphasized the inverse connection between trade and emissions. An analysis of Pakistan's emissions dynamics from 1970 to 2018, for example, by Ahmad et al. (2022), showed that a drop in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions was correlated with both a positive trade balance and a favorable exchange rate. Nonetheless, a rise in overall production was associated with increased emissions, highlighting the complex interactions between economic and environmental issues. Forslid et al. (2018) discovered that exports contributed to production volumes and reduced emission intensity. This shows that trade helped to generate cleaner output, which in turn helped to improve the environment. After focusing on China, Li et al. (2022) found that trade reduced emissions. The study also showed that medium- and high-technology green items contributed significantly to the decrease in emissions, highlighting the significance of technological developments in supporting environmentally responsible trading practices. According to Jebli et al. (2016), which examined the emissions landscape in OECD nations, non-REC was linked to higher emissions. A decrease in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions has been associated with the use and trading of renewable energy.

Using structural breaks in their model, Shahbaz et al. (2019a) looked into the USA and found the EKC. Interestingly, they discovered that trade openness was connected with lower CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and that foreign direct investment (FDI) was linked to higher emissions. Ren et al. (2014) examined China and found that FDI and trade surpluses led to an increase in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Above a certain point, however, industrial income showed the opposite impact, resulting in a decrease in emissions. Muhammad et al. (2020) discovered the EKC in 65 countries. Their research showed that exports mitigated CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, but FDI was associated with higher emissions. Banerjee and Murshed (2020) examined the economies of the BRICS, finding that FDI had a different effect in the BRICS, increasing emissions while decreasing emissions in the G7. According to Wang et al.'s (2023) analysis of 14 European economies between 1995 and 2020, REC and innovations were linked to a smaller ecological footprint. However, there was a correlation found between an increase in ecological footprint and characteristics including FMD, non-REC, and FDI. In a similar vein, Saqib (2022) looked at 18 developed nations between 1990 and 2019 and found that the adoption of REC and positive shocks to technological innovation reduced emissions. When taken as a whole, these studies highlight the environmental effects of FDI and TO. Evidence for the positive environmental effects of FDI is also found in the literature. As an illustration, Aslan et al. (2022) studied oil importers and exporters. According to their findings, there was a correlation between greater income levels and higher  $CO_2$  emissions. On the other hand, trade had the reverse effect, raising emissions in countries that export oil, while FDI played a mitigating function, resulting in a drop in emissions in countries that buy oil. Ghorbal et al. (2022) found that FDI reduced emissions. They did, however, point out the complex link between various economic forces and environmental results. Horobet et al. (2021) confirmed that FDI, imports, and exports were linked to lower CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by expanding their research to 24 EU countries. Investigating the impact of trade between Africa and China on carbon emissions, Tawiah et al. (2021) found a correlation between rising carbon emissions and African exports to China. This linkage highlights the necessity for sophisticated approaches to managing environmental issues that are linked to international economic activity.

Mahmood (2020) carried out a study that covered 21 economies and revealed the EKC phenomenon. In this context, it was found that while FMD tended to raise emissions, FDI emerged as a contributor to emissions reduction. Liu and Wei (2022) examined the details of thirty provinces. Their results showed that variables like income, FDI outflows, and export structure led to a decrease in emissions through the effect of increased energy efficiency while also enhancing emissions through the scale effect. Furthermore, it was shown that FDI outflows could lower CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, especially in energy-intensive industries. In their analysis, Haug and Ucal (2019) found that there was no discernible effect on emissions from rising exports, falling imports, or FDI. Moreover, there was no change in CO<sub>2</sub> intensity as a result of these economic activities. It was determined that urbanization and FMD were causing CO<sub>2</sub> intensity to rise. Together, these studies highlight the complex interactions that influence environmental consequences between trade, income, FDI, and other economic variables. The differences in context between different industries and areas highlight the necessity for customized strategies to address environmental issues related to economic activity.

In their study, Farhani et al. (2014) looked at ten countries in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA), offering empirical evidence in favor of the EKC. Furthermore, they emphasized how trade and the rule of law have favorably shaped the EKC and improved environmental outcomes. During 1990-2015, Shahbaz et al. (2019b) found that FDI and income accelerated emissions. Interestingly, their results showed that biomass energy mitigated emissions, offering a complex picture of the variables affecting environmental results in the area. Bardi and Hfaiedh (2021) confirmed the existence of the EKC theory. Additionally, their research demonstrated a causal link, indicating that FDI influenced higher emissions and bolstered the Pollution Haven Hypothesis (PHH). After carefully examining the 14 MENA nations between 1987 and 2019, Saqib (2021) highlighted the complex interactions that shape the region's energy dynamics. Zhuang et al. (2023) expanded the focus to encompass MENA economies as well as BRI nations, and they confirmed that FDI reduced emissions across the board for the BRI sample. Regional differences in the environmental consequences of FDI were highlighted by the MENA regional analysis, which found that FDI had an influence that increased emissions. After studying the MENA from 2002 to 2019, Tahir et al. (2022) concluded that trade contributed to a decrease in emissions. Nonetheless, their results showed that terrorism had a negative impact and increased CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. All of these studies highlight the intricate and regionally unique dynamics of FDI, trade, and other factors affecting environmental consequences.

Al-Mulali and Sheau-Ting (2014) found that TO increased emissions during their analysis of the MENA economies from 1990–2011. Abdouli and Hammami (2017) found that increased TO and FDI were linked to higher emissions. In their analysis of the MENA from 1990 to 2011, Omri et al. (2015) established a link between TO and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Awan et al. (2020) looked at the MENA region and found that FMD caused environmental deterioration. When Bilal et al. (2022) expanded their analysis to include the years 1991-2019, they found a different pattern in which a decrease in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions was associated with globalization. After examining the MENA region from 1970 to 2017, Ekwueme and Zoaka (2020) found that increased TO led to higher CO, emissions. The MENA region's complicated interplay between economic activities, globalization, and environmental impacts is highlighted by these diverse studies. Time-related variables and context-specific elements are important in determining the various environmental effects reported in various research studies.

The literature made clear how crucial TO and REC are to  $CO_2$  emissions. In the ME region, there hasn't been any testing done on how TO and RET affect  $CO_2$  emissions. The current study addresses this problem to provide empirical support.

# **3. METHODOLOGY**

The way that trade openness and RET interact has a big impact on how much pollution is produced in a given area. Furthermore, economic expansion might increase pollution emissions and energy demand (Mahmood et al., 2023a, 2023b), especially if renewable energy sources aren't included in the energy mix. As a result, the current analysis makes the following assumptions about a complete  $CO_2$  emissions model that includes TO, RET, and economic growth:

$$LCO_{2it} = f(LGDPC_{it}, LGDPC_{it}^{2}, LRET_{it}, LTO_{it})$$
(1)

 $LCO_{2it}$  is the logarithm of  $CO_2$  emissions per capita.  $LGDPC_{it}$  is the logarithm of Gross Domestic Product (GDP) per capita in US dollars and  $LGDPC_{it}^2$  is the square of  $LGDPC_{it}$ . LRET<sub>it</sub> is the logarithm of REC percentage of total energy usage.  $LTO_{it}$  is the logarithm of the total trade percentage of GDP. All data is taken from 12 ME economies from 2000 to 2019 and sourced from the World Bank (2023).

IPS, LLS, and ADF are the three tests that are utilized for unit root. These, which are supplied by Im et al. (2003), Levin et al. (2022), and Maddala and Wu (1999), confirm the robustness of one another. Cointegration would then be applied using Kao's (1999) technique. We also use the Maddala and Wu (1999) approach to evaluate the robustness. By examining the cointegration vectors using the following aggregating equations, we may be able to confirm the cointegration.

$$y = -2\sum_{i=1}^{N} ln(probability_i)$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

After using the aforementioned equation to test cointegration, Pedroni's (2004) test can be used to confirm robustness by using the following statistics:

$$T^{2}N^{1.5}Z_{\hat{v}N,T} \quad T^{2}N^{1.5} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} 1/\hat{L}_{11i}^{2}\hat{e}_{i,t-1}^{2})^{-1}$$
(3)

$$TN^{0.5}Z_{n,T-1} = T^2 N^{0.5} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{1}{\hat{L}_{11i}^2 \hat{e}_{i,t-1}} \hat{e}_{i,t-1} - \hat{e}_{i,t-1} \right)$$

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{1}{\hat{L}_{11i}^2 \hat{e}_{i,t-1}} \right)^{-1}$$
(4)

$$Z_{tN,T} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} 1 / \hat{L}_{11i}^2 \hat{e}_{i,t-1} \Delta \hat{e}_{i,t} - \hat{\lambda}_i\right) (\tilde{\sigma}_{N,T}^2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \hat{L}_{11i}^{-2} \hat{e}_{i,t-1}^2)^{-0.5}$$
(5)

$$Z_{tN,T}^{*} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{1}{\hat{L}_{11i}^{2} \hat{e}_{i,t-1}^{*}} \Delta \hat{e}_{i,t}^{*}\right) (\hat{s}_{N,T}^{*2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} 1/\hat{L}_{11i}^{2} \hat{e}_{i,t-1}^{*2})^{-0.5} \quad (6)$$

$$TN^{0.5}\tilde{Z}_{\hat{\rho}N,T-1} = T.N^{-0.5} \left( \sum_{t=1}^{T} \hat{e}_{i,t-1} \ddot{A} \hat{e}_{i,t} - \hat{\lambda}_i \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \hat{e}_{i,t-1}^2 \right)^{-1}$$
(7)

$$N^{-0.5} \tilde{Z}_{t N,T} = N^{0.5} \left( \sum_{t=1}^{T} \hat{e}_{i,t-1} \ddot{A} \hat{e}_{i,t} - \hat{\lambda}_i \right)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \hat{\sigma}_i^2 \sum_{t=1}^{T} \hat{e}_{i,t-1}^2 \right)^{-1}$$
(8)

$$N^{-0.5}\tilde{Z}_{t\,N,T}^{*} = N^{0.5} \left( \sum_{t=1}^{T} \hat{e}_{i,\ t-1}^{*} \ddot{A} \hat{e}_{i,\ t}^{*} \right)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \sum_{t=1}^{T} \hat{s}_{i}^{*2} \hat{e}_{i,t-1}^{*2} \right)^{-0.5} \tag{9}$$

The aforementioned equations would imply cointegration when taking heterogeneous country effects into account. Next, we use the Pesaran et al. (1999) technique to determine how our model's variables affect emissions of carbon dioxide. This approach was selected because it uses a Pooled Mean Group (PMG) and yields reliable findings in a mixed integration order.

$$\Delta z_{it} = \alpha_i + \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \gamma_j \Delta z_{i,t-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \beta_j \Delta w_{i,t-1} + \mu_1 z_{i,t-1} + \mu_2 w_{i,t-1} + e_{1it}$$
(10)

$$\Delta z_{it} = \alpha_i + \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \gamma_j \Delta z_{i,t-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \beta_j \Delta w_{i,t-1} + \varphi_j u_{i,t-1} + e_{2it}$$
(11)

Equations 11 and 12 assess the impacts in the short and long terms. Then, using the FMOLS of Pedroni (2000) and DOLS of Kao and Chiang (2000), the robustness of PMG would be evaluated as follows:

$$\hat{\beta}_{FMOLS} = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \left(w_{it} - \overline{w}_{i}\right) \hat{z}_{it}^{+} + T \hat{\Delta}_{\varepsilon\mu}^{+}\right)\right) / \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left(w_{it} - \overline{w}_{i}\right)^{'}\right)$$
(12)

$$\hat{\beta}_{DOLS} = \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} z_{it} \hat{z}_{it}^{+}\right) \cdot \sum_{i=t}^{N} 1 / \sum_{t=1}^{T} z_{it} z_{it}^{'}$$
(13)

The above equations eliminate a lot of econometric issues to get reliable findings.

# 4. DATA ANALYSES

Table 1 shows that  $LTO_{it}$  is stationary at the level. Moreover, most of the experiments that have been run show that every differenced variable is stationary. As a result, all of these results point to the dataset's mixed integration order being correct.

The findings of the tests carried out to evaluate long-term relationships, are shown in Table 2. While Kao's test supports a long-term relationship in the model. On the other hand, 5 cointegrating vectors are found in Fisher-Johansen's test. Furthermore, cointegration is supported by Pedroni's test, which yields confirmation in 1 of the 4 weighted statistics. Moreover, Table 3 illustrates that the coefficients of  $ECT_{t-1}$  are constantly negative. The models' cointegration is validated by this alignment with the anticipated negative sign. We can move forward with both long- and short-term analyses with confidence with this strong cointegration evidence.

In Table 3, the EKC hypothesis is thoroughly examined and shown to be validated over the long term for all predicted regressions. This conclusion suggests that there is a point at which environmental deterioration starts to diminish as

#### Table 1: Unit root tests

Series	IPS		LLC		Fishe	Fisher-ADF	
	C	C & T	С	С&Т	С	C & T	
Level							
LCO <sub>2it</sub>	0.6012	-1.1252	-0.5964	-0.2541	10.2571	16.3541	
	(0.7052)	(0.1354)	(0.2755)	(0.1252)	(0.5241)	(0.2301)	
LGDPC <sub>it</sub>	-1.5641	1.5241	-0.8954	0.5631	16.3541	5.6241	
	(0.1352)	(0.8965)	(0.2045)	(0.5964)	(0.2014)	(0.9125)	
LGDPC <sub>it</sub> <sup>2</sup>	-1.1524	-1.8654	-1.8641	-2.2041	26.5241	27.5241	
	(0.2054)	(0.0452)	(0.0354)	(0.0102)	(0.0000)	(0.0000)	
LRET <sub>it</sub>	-0.8657	-1.7521	-1.8745	-2.0412	24.5621	24.025	
	(0.2641)	(0.0652)	(0.0364)	(0.0197)	(0.0012)	(0.0201)	
LTO <sub>it</sub>	-4.5212	-5.3241	-6.3541	-10.2541	29.3541	30.2541	
11	(0.0000)	(0.0000)	(0.0000)	(0.0000)	(0.0000)	(0.0000)	
First Difference							
LCO <sub>2it</sub>	-7.5214	-6.3541	-5.4125	-3.1254	32.415	34.5218	
	(0.0000)	(0.0000)	(0.0000)	(0.0010)	(0.0000)	(0.0000)	
LGDPC <sub>it</sub>	-7.9654	-7.5241	-8.8541	-9.6251	40.2541	43.2542	
11	(0.0000)	(0.0000)	(0.0000)	(0.0000)	(0.0000)	(0.0000)	
LGDPC <sub>it</sub> <sup>2</sup>	-8.6741	-7.0145	-7.5874	-5.0241	45.5241	46.3541	
	(0.0000)	(0.0000)	(0.0000)	(0.0000)	(0.0000)	(0.0000)	
LRET <sub>it</sub>	-8.9654	-9.6521	-5.9641	-4.9258	56.3542	58.9674	
	(0.000)	(0.0000)	(0.0000)	(0.0000)	(0.0000)	(0.0000)	
LTO <sub>it</sub>	-9.6312	-9.6847	-7.5974	-9.8547	31.5241	35.5218	
	(0.0000)	(0.0000)	(0.0000)	(0.0000)	(0.0000)	(0.0000)	

#### **Table 2: Cointegration results**

Statistics	Test	<b>P-value</b>	Weighed test	<b>P-value</b>				
Pedroni test								
V	-1.7608	0.9609	-1.4097	0.9207				
Rho	1.0842	0.8609	1.5305	0.9370				
PP	-1.5294	0.0631	-0.4392	0.3303				
ADF	2.2051	0.9863	0.8679	0.8073				
Grouped-rho	2.8820	0.9980						
Grouped-PP	-0.4269	0.3347						
Grouped-ADF	0.6981	0.7574						
Kao test								
Stat	-2.6208	0.0044						
Variance								
Fisher-Johansen test								
Vectors	<b>Trace Stats</b>		Max-Eigen					
			Stats					
0	365.8	0.0000	229.5	0.0000				
1	192.6	0.0000	111.4	0.0000				
2	102.4	0.0000	56.57	0.0000				
3	67.21	0.0000	47.44	0.0005				
4	59.53	0.0000	59.53	0.0000				

economies improve, which has important implications. An important finding from the investigation is how the shift to renewable energy affects  $CO_2$  emissions. The analysis emphasizes a less positive effect linked to trade openness. The results imply that higher  $CO_2$  emissions are associated with greater trade openness. The intricate connection between trade and environmental effects highlights how crucial it is to take globalization and international trade's environmental effects into account. It encourages a more thorough investigation into the nature of these emissions, taking into account elements like transportation, energy sources connected to increased trade activity, and industry processes. The shift to RET is a good way to cut  $CO_2$  emissions, but trade openness has drawbacks that must be resolved to guarantee that environmental preservation

#### **Table 3: Regression Tests**

Table 5. Regression rests								
Variable	Coefficient	S.E.	t-value	p-value				
FMOLS								
LGDPC <sub>it</sub>	3.6119	0.6243	5.7853	0.0000				
LGDPC <sub>it</sub> <sup>2</sup>	-0.1528	0.0362	-4.2155	0.0000				
LRET <sub>it</sub> "	-0.0206	0.0182	-1.1290	0.2603				
LTO <sub>jt</sub>	0.0006	0.0009	0.6773	0.4990				
DOLS								
LGDPC <sub>it</sub>	1.7108	0.6070	2.8184	0.0058				
LGDPC <sub>it</sub> <sup>2</sup>	-0.0336	0.0368	-0.9120	0.3640				
LRET <sub>it</sub> "	-0.0478	0.0083	-5.7636	0.0000				
LTO <sub>it</sub> "	0.0025	0.0011	2.3938	0.0186				
PMG-Long Run								
LGDPC <sub>it</sub>	2.7778	0.7060	3.9300	0.0000				
LGDPC <sub>it</sub> <sup>2</sup>	-0.1441	0.0465	-3.1101	0.0020				
LRET <sub>it</sub> "	-0.0235	0.01331	-1.7602	0.0780				
LTO <sub>it</sub> "	0.0122	0.0017	7.0101	0.0000				
PMG-Short Run								
LGDPC <sub>it</sub>	-2.7119	14.5724	-0.1902	0.8520				
LGDPC <sub>it</sub> <sup>2</sup>	0.1114	0.7245	0.1501	0.8780				
LRET <sub>it</sub>	0.1639	0.2206	0.7409	0.4580				
LTO,	-0.0003	0.0009	-0.3706	0.7140				
ECT <sup>"</sup>	-0.1562	0.0649	-2.4102	0.0160				

and economic growth coexist together. The negative effect of  $ECT_{t-1}$  also confirms the short-term connection. The short-term impacts, however, are insignificant.

The long-term confirmation of the EKC hypothesis offers important new perspectives on the dynamic relationship between environmental effect and income. The shift to renewable energy has been beneficial, highlighting how technologies may help create more sustainability. To alleviate the environmental effects of expanding global trade, however, smart measures and careful analysis are required. Policymakers and researchers can use these findings as a solid basis to build focused initiatives that promote sustainable growth while reducing environmental impact.

# **5. CONCLUSIONS**

The world needs to switch to RET to reduce pollution emissions and promote environmental sustainability. However, a significant portion of the Middle East's economy is still firmly rooted in the exploitation and burning of fossil fuels, which poses a significant obstacle to environmental preservation in the area. In light of this, this paper conducts a thorough analysis of the effects of income, TO, and the RET on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions across 12 Middle Eastern countries, covering the years from 2000 to 2019. The discovery of the EKC in the ME area is the main outcome of this study. According to the EKC, which is a theoretical framework that postulates income levels and environmental degradation, the environmental impact gets worse when economies grow initially, but after a certain point, economic development starts to help the environment. This finding emphasizes how intricately environmental preservation and income interact in the ME region. The RET is shown to be beneficial for the environment. According to the study, switching to RET is connected with a measurable decrease in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. This research demonstrates how RET has the potential to make a substantial contribution to environmental preservation and is in line with the worldwide conversation about switching to cleaner and more sustainable energy sources. The story of TO and CO2 emissions in the Middle East is more complex. The findings suggest a positive relationship between emissions and TO. It implies that trading activities may be organized in a way that has comparatively more ecological problems within the framework of the ME region. Nevertheless, more research is necessary to identify the precise mechanisms underlying the complex link between trade openness and environmental effects.

In summary, this study adds an important new understanding to the intricate interactions between ecological consequences and trade. However, together with the benefits of the RET, the discovery of the EKC highlights the region's potential for an environmentally benign and sustainable future. The paradoxical association with trade openness highlights the need for more research and subtle policy interventions to strike a balance between environmental preservation and trade in the ME region. These results provide a strong basis on which policymakers, industry stakeholders, and researchers can work together to design and put into practice policies that promote sustainable development. The study recommends a swift and comprehensive RET in the ME region as a vital tactic to reduce emissions in light of these findings. The beneficial effects of RET are highlighted when compared to the ME region's ongoing reliance on fossil fuels, underscoring the pressing need for radical changes that would bring economic prosperity and environmental stewardship into harmony.

#### **6. FUNDING**

This study is supported via funding from Prince Sattam bin Abdulaziz University project number (PSAU/2023/R/1445).

# REFERENCES

Abbasi, K.R., Adedoyin, F.F., Abbas, J., Hussain, K. (2021), The impact of energy depletion and renewable energy on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in

Thailand: Fresh evidence from the novel dynamic ARDL simulation. Renewable Energy, 180, 1439-1450.

- Abdouli, M., Hammami, S. (2016), Economic growth, FDI inflows and their impact on the environment: An empirical study for the MENA countries. Quality Quantity, 51(1), 121-146.
- Adebayo, T.S., Akinsola, G.D., Bekun, F.V., Osemeahon, O.S., Sarkodie, S.A. (2021), Mitigating human-induced emissions in Argentina: Role of renewables, income, globalization, and financial development. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 28(47), 67764-67778.
- Ahmad, M., Jabeen, G., Shah, S.A.A., Rehman, A., Ahmad, F., Işik, C. (2021), Assessing long-and short-run dynamic interplay among balance of trade, aggregate economic output, real exchange rate, and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in Pakistan. Environment, Development and Sustainability, 24(5), 7283-7323.
- Ahmed, K., Ozturk, I., Ghumro, I.A., Mukesh, P. (2019), Effect of trade on ecological quality: A case of D-8 countries. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 26(35), 35935-35944.
- Al-Mulali, U., Sheau-Ting, L. (2014), Econometric analysis of trade, exports, imports, energy consumption and CO<sub>2</sub> emission in six regions. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 33, 484-498.
- Andriamahery, A., Qamruzzaman, M. (2022), A symmetry and asymmetry investigation of the nexus between environmental sustainability, renewable energy, energy innovation, and trade: Evidence from environmental kuznets curve hypothesis in selected MENA countries. Frontiers in Energy Research, 9, 873.
- Anser, M.K., Yousaf, Z., Zaman, K., Nassani, A.A., Alotaibi, S.M., Jambari, H., Kabbani, A. (2020), Determination of resource curse hypothesis in mediation of financial development and clean energy sources: Go-for-green resource policies. Resources Policy, 66, 101640.
- Anwar, A., Sinha, A., Sharif, A., Siddique, M., Irshad, S., Anwar, W., Malik, S. (2021), The nexus between urbanization, renewable energy consumption, financial development, and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions: Evidence from selected Asian countries. Environment, Development and Sustainability, 24(5), 6556-6576.
- Aslan, A., Ocal, O., Ozsolak, B., Ozturk, I. (2022), Renewable energy and economic growth relationship under the oil reserve ownership: Evidence from panel VAR approach. Renewable Energy, 188, 402-410.
- Awan, A.M., Azam, M., Saeed, I.U., Bakhtyar, B. (2020), Does globalization and financial sector development affect environmental quality? A panel data investigation for the Middle East and North African countries. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 27(36), 45405-45418.
- Azam, M., Rehman, Z.U., Ibrahim, Y. (2022), Causal nexus in industrialization, urbanization, trade openness, and carbon emissions: Empirical evidence from OPEC economies. Environment, Development and Sustainability, 24(12), 13990-14010.
- Banerjee, S., Murshed, M. (2020), Do Emissions implied in net export validate the pollution haven conjecture analysis of G7 and BRICS countries. International Journal of Sustainable Economy, 12(1), 297-319.
- Bardi, W., Hfaiedh, M.A. (2021), Causal interaction between FDI, corruption and environmental quality in the MENA region. Economies, 9(1), 14.
- Ben Jebli, M., Ben Youssef, S., Ozturk, I. (2015), The role of renewable energy consumption and trade: Environmental Kuznets curve analysis for Sub-Saharan Africa countries. African Development Review, 27(3), 288-300.
- Ben Jebli, M., Ben Youssef, S., Ozturk, I. (2016), Testing environmental Kuznets curve hypothesis: The role of renewable and non-renewable energy consumption and trade in OECD countries. Ecological Indicators, 60, 824-831.

- Bilal, A., Li, X., Zhu, N., Sharma, R., Jahanger, A. (2021), Green technology innovation, globalization, and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions: Recent insights from the OBOR economies. Sustainability, 14(1), 236.
- Biswas, A.A., Shahriar, A.H.M., Alam, M.J., Kuddus, R., Golder, U., Paul, M.R. (2023), Rethinking FDI policy in Bangladesh: An asymmetric approach to globalization, population, and trade. International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues, 13(6), 168-176.
- BP. (2023), BP Statistical Review of World Energy. Available from: https://www.bp.com/statisticalreview
- Conteh, S.B., Yijun, Y., Sesay, B. (2021), Economic growth effects of the interaction of trade openness and institutions quality: Empirical evidence from Sub-Saharan Africa. International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues, 11(1), 34-48.
- Ekwueme, D.C., Zoaka, J.D. (2020), Effusions of carbon dioxide in MENA countries: Inference of financial development, trade receptivity, and energy utilization. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 27(11), 12449-12460.
- Erdogan, S., Okumus, I., Guzel, A.E. (2020), Revisiting the environmental Kuznets Curve hypothesis in OECD countries: The role of renewable, non-renewable energy, and oil prices. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 27(19), 23655-23663.
- Farhani, S., Elouaer Mrizak, S., Chaibi, A., Rault, C. (2014), The environmental Kuznets Curve and sustainability: A panel data analysis. Energy Policy, 71, 189-198.
- Forslid, R., Okubo, T., Ulltveit-Moe, K.H. (2018), Why are firms that export cleaner? International trade, abatement and environmental emissions. Journal of Environmental Economics and Management, 91, 166-183.
- Ghorbal, S., Farhani, S., Youssef, S.B. (2021), Do renewable energy and national patents impact the environmental sustainability of Tunisia? Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 29(17), 25248-25262.
- Global Carbon Atlas. (2023), Available from: https://www. globalcarbonatlas.org/en/co2-emissions
- Haug, A.A., Ucal, M. (2019), The role of trade and FDI for CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in Turkey: Nonlinear relationships. Energy Economics, 81, 297-307.
- Hdom, H.A. (2019), Examining carbon dioxide emissions, fossil renewable electricity generation and economic growth: Evidence from a panel of South American countries. Renewable Energy, 139, 186-197.
- Horobet, A., Popovici, O.C., Zlatea, E., Belascu, L., Dumitrescu, D.G., Curea, S.C. (2021), Long-Run dynamics of gas emissions, economic growth, and low-carbon energy in the European Union: The fostering effect of FDI and trade. Energies, 14(10), 2858.
- Im, K.S., Pesaran, M., Shin, Y. (2003), Testing for unit roots in heterogeneous panels. Journal of Econometrics, 115(1), 53-74.
- IRENA. (2021), Renewable Energy Market Analysis: Middle East. Available from: https://www.irena.org/publications/2019/jan/ renewable-energy-market-analysis-middle east-2019
- Jiao, Z., Sharma, R., Kautish, P., Hussain, H.I. (2021), Unveiling the asymmetric impact of exports, oil prices, technological innovations, and income inequality on carbon emissions in India. Resources Policy, 74, 102408.
- Kao, C. (1999), Spurious regression and residual-based tests for cointegration in panel data. Journal of Econometrics, 90(1), 1-44.
- Kao, C.D., Chiang, M.H. (2000), On the estimation and inference of a cointegrated regression in panel data. Advance Econometrics, 15, 179-222.
- Koc, S., Bulus, G.C. (2020), Testing validity of the EKC hypothesis in South Korea: Role of renewable energy and trade openness. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 27(23), 29043-29054.
  Levin, A., Lin, C.F., James Chu, C.S. (2002), Unit root tests in

panel data: Asymptotic and finite-sample properties. Journal of Econometrics, 108(1), 1-24.

- Li, J., Dong, K., Dong, X., Shahbaz, M. (2022), How green trade influences pollution emissions in China: A provincial perspective. Energy Economics, 115, 106330.
- Liu, Z., Wei, L.Y. (2022), Effects of ODI and export trade structure on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in China: nonlinear relationships. Environment, Development and Sustainability, 24(12), 13630-13656.
- Maddala, G.S., Wu, S. (1999), A comparative study of unit root tests with panel data and a new simple test. Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics, 61(s1), 631-652.
- Mahmood, H. (2020), CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions, financial development, trade, and income in North America: A spatial panel data approach. SAGE Open, 10(4), 1-15.
- Mahmood, H. (2022a), The spatial analyses of consumption-based CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, exports, imports, and FDI nexus in GCC countries. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 29(32), 48301-48311.
- Mahmood, H. (2022b), Trade, FDI, and  $CO_2$  emissions nexus in Latin America: The spatial analysis in testing the pollution haven and the EKC hypotheses. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 30(6), 14439-14454.
- Mahmood, H., Furqan, M., Hassan, M.S., Rej, S. (2023a), The environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC) hypothesis in China: A review. Sustainability, 15(7), 6110.
- Mahmood, H., Hassan, M.S., Rej, S., Furqan, M. (2023b), The environmental Kuznets Curve and renewable energy consumption: A review. International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy, 13(3), 279-291.
- Muhammad, S., Long, X., Salman, M., Dauda, L. (2020), Effect of urbanization and international trade on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions across 65 Belt and Road Initiative countries. Energy, 196, 117102.
- Omri, A., Daly, S., Rault, C., Chaibi, A. (2015), Financial development, environmental quality, trade and economic growth: What causes what in MENA countries? Energy Economics, 48, 242-252.
- Pata, U.K., Caglar, A.E. (2021), Investigating the EKC hypothesis with renewable energy consumption, human capital, globalization and trade openness for China: Evidence from augmented ARDL approach with a structural break. Energy, 216, 119220.
- Pedroni, P. (2000), Fully modified OLS for heterogeneous cointegrated panels. Advance Econometrics, 15, 93-130.
- Pedroni, P. (2004), Panel cointegration: Asymptotic and finite sample properties of pooled time series tests with an application to the PPP hypothesis. Econometric Theory, 20(3), 597-625.
- Pesaran, M.H., Shin, Y., Smith, R.P. (1999), Pooled mean group estimation of dynamic heterogeneous panels. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 94(446), 621-634.
- Pham, N.T., Pham, T.K., Cao, V.H., Tran, H.G., Vo, X.V. (2020), The impact of international trade on environmental quality: Implications for law. Asian Journal of Law and Economics, 11(1), 1-12.
- Rana, R., Sharma, M. (2018), Dynamic causality testing for EKC hypothesis, pollution haven hypothesis and international trade in India. The Journal of International Trade Economic Development, 28(3), 348-364.
- Ren, S., Yuan, B., Ma, X., Chen, X. (2014), International trade, FDI (foreign direct investment) and embodied CO<sub>2</sub> emissions: A case study of China's industrial sectors. China Economic Review, 28, 123-134.
- Saqib, N. (2021), Energy consumption and economic growth: Empirical evidence from MENA region. International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy, 11(6), 191-197.
- Saqib, N. (2022), Asymmetric linkages between renewable energy, technological innovation, and carbon-dioxide emission in developed economies: Non-linear ARDL analysis. Environmental Science and

Pollution Research, 29(40), 60744-60758.

- Saqib, N., Sharif, A., Razzaq, A., Usman, M. (2022), Integration of renewable energy and technological innovation in realizing environmental sustainability: The role of human capital in EKC framework. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 30(6), 16372-16385.
- Shahbaz, M., Balsalobre-Lorente, D., Sinha, A. (2019a), Foreign direct investment-CO<sub>2</sub> emissions nexus in Middle East and North African countries: Importance of biomass energy consumption. Journal of Cleaner Production, 217, 603-614.
- Shahbaz, M., Gozgor, G., Adom, P.K., Hammoudeh, S. (2019b), The technical decomposition of carbon emissions and the concerns about FDI and trade openness effects in the United States. International Economics, 159, 56-73.
- Sharif, A., Saqib, N., Dong, K., Khan, S.A.R. (2022), Nexus between green technology innovation, green financing, and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in the G7 countries: The moderating role of social globalisation. Sustainable Development, 30(6), 1934-1946.
- Tahir, M., Burki, U., Azid, T. (2022), Terrorism and environmental sustainability: Empirical evidence from the MENA region. Resources, Environment and Sustainability, 8, 100056.
- Tariq, G., Sun, H., Ali, I., Pasha, A.A., Khan, M.S., Rahman, M.M.,

Shah, Q. (2022), Influence of green technology, green energy consumption, energy efficiency, trade, economic development and FDI on climate change in South Asia. Scientific Reports, 12(1), 16376.

- Tawiah, V.K., Zakari, A., Khan, I. (2021), The environmental footprint of China-Africa engagement: An analysis of the effect of China-Africa partnership on carbon emissions. Science of the Total Environment, 756, 143603.
- Wang, R., Usman, M., Radulescu, M., Cifuentes-Faura, J., Balsalobre-Lorente, D. (2023), Achieving ecological sustainability through technological innovations, financial development, foreign direct investment, and energy consumption in developing European countries. Gondwana Research, 119, 138-152.
- World Bank (2023), World Development Indicators. The World Bank, Washington, D.C. Available from: https://databank.worldbank.org/ source/worlddevelopment-indicators
- World Integrated Trade Solution. (2023), Middle East North Africa Trade. Available from: https://wits.worldbank.org/countrysnapshot/ en/MEA/textview
- Zhuang, Y., Yang, S., Razzaq, A., Khan, Z. (2021), Environmental impact of infrastructure-led Chinese outward FDI, tourism development and technology innovation: A regional country analysis. Journal of Environmental Planning and Management, 66(2), 367-399.