

# DIGITALES ARCHIV

ZBW – Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft  
ZBW – Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Salahodjaev, Raufhon; Djalilov, Bekhzod; Kobiljonov, Islomjon et al.

## Article

# Industrialization and CO2 emissions : accounting for the role of renewable energy in OIC member states

## Provided in Cooperation with:

International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy (IJEPP)

*Reference:* Salahodjaev, Raufhon/Djalilov, Bekhzod et. al. (2023). Industrialization and CO2 emissions : accounting for the role of renewable energy in OIC member states. In: International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy 13 (5), S. 37 - 43.

<https://www.econjournals.com/index.php/ijeep/article/download/12544/7445/34164>.

doi:10.32479/ijeep.12544.

This Version is available at:

<http://hdl.handle.net/11159/631178>

## Kontakt/Contact

ZBW – Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft/Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Düsternbrooker Weg 120

24105 Kiel (Germany)

E-Mail: [rights\[at\]zbw.eu](mailto:rights[at]zbw.eu)

<https://www.zbw.eu/econis-archiv/>

## Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Dieses Dokument darf zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden. Sie dürfen dieses Dokument nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, aufführen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen. Sofern für das Dokument eine Open-Content-Lizenz verwendet wurde, so gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

<https://zbw.eu/econis-archiv/termsfuse>

## Terms of use:

*This document may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes. You are not to copy it for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the document in public, to perform, distribute or otherwise use the document in public. If the document is made available under a Creative Commons Licence you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the licence.*



## Industrialization and CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions: Accounting for the role of Renewable Energy in OIC Member States

Raufhon Salahodjaev<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Bekhzod Djalilov<sup>3</sup>, Islomjon Kobiljonov<sup>3</sup>, Shukhrat Otajonov<sup>4</sup>, Nargiza Kasimova<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Business, Central Asian University, Tashkent 111221, Uzbekistan, Tashkent State University of Economics, Tashkent, Uzbekistan, <sup>2</sup>ERGO Analytics, Tashkent, Uzbekistan, <sup>3</sup>Tashkent Metropolitan University, Tashkent, Uzbekistan, <sup>4</sup>Department for Formation and Monitoring of State Programs of Scientific and Innovative Activity, Ministry of Innovative Development of the Republic of Uzbekistan, Uzbekistan, <sup>5</sup>Tashkent Institute of Finance, Tashkent, Uzbekistan. \*Email: salahodjaev@gmail.com

Received: 2 October 2022

Accepted: 22 June 2023

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.32479/ijeep.12544>

### ABSTRACT

The aim of this study is to assess the relationship between industrialization and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in a sample of OIC member states over the period 1995-2020. The study adopts panel data methods such as two-step system GMM estimator to account for the problems of endogeneity and simultaneity. The empirical results suggest that industrializing has positive effect on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. In contrast, renewable energy and financial development has pollution inhibiting impact on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Our results also show that GDP and ICT usage leads to a rise in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. The policy implications of the study are discussed.

**Keywords:** Industrialization, Renewable Energy, CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions, Human Capital

**JEL Classifications:** Q50, Q40

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In recent decades, the world has witnessed significant changes in both global CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and urbanization patterns. These two trends are interconnected, as urban areas contribute significantly to greenhouse gas emissions. Analyzing these trends can provide valuable insights into the environmental challenges we face and the potential solutions for a sustainable future. Indeed, over the past century, human activities, primarily the burning of fossil fuels for energy production and transportation, have led to a substantial increase in carbon dioxide emissions. According to the International Energy Agency (2020), global energy-related CO<sub>2</sub> emissions reached a record high of 33.1 gigatonnes in 2019, representing a 2% increase compared to the previous year. When it comes to the distribution of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, certain countries and regions stand out. Historically, developed nations have been the largest emitters. For example, in 2019, the top five CO<sub>2</sub> emitters

were China (28% of global emissions), the United States (15%), the European Union (9%), India (7%), and Russia (5%). These figures, compiled by the Global Carbon Atlas, highlight the disproportionate contribution of these regions to global emissions.

However, emerging economies have witnessed a rapid increase in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in recent years due to industrialization and urbanization. China, for instance, has experienced tremendous economic growth, resulting in a surge in energy demand. It surpassed the United States as the largest emitter in 2006 and accounted for 28% of global CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in 2019. India, another rapidly growing economy, witnessed a 2.9% increase in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in 2019 alone, according to the IEA. Turning our attention to trends in urbanization, cities are expanding at an unprecedented rate. More than half of the world's population now lives in urban areas, and this figure is projected to reach 68% by 2050, according to the United Nations (2018; 2019). Rapid urbanization poses

challenges in terms of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and resource consumption. Urban areas are responsible for a significant share of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions due to high energy consumption. Buildings and transportation are major contributors, accounting for approximately 40% and 23% of global CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, respectively. As cities grow, so does the demand for infrastructure, housing, and transportation, leading to increased emissions. However, urbanization also presents opportunities for sustainable development. Compact and well-planned cities can optimize resource utilization and reduce per capita emissions. Many cities are implementing sustainable strategies to address environmental challenges. For example, cities like Copenhagen, Denmark, have set ambitious targets to become carbon neutral by 2025, relying on renewable energy and sustainable transportation systems. Thus, global trends in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and trends in urbanization pose significant challenges for sustainable development. While CO<sub>2</sub> emissions continue to rise, efforts to transition to renewable energy sources and improve energy efficiency provide hope for reducing the environmental impact. Similarly, urbanization can be a driver of emissions but also an opportunity for sustainable transformation. By adopting environmentally friendly practices and embracing the concept of smart cities, urban areas can become part of the solution. Ultimately, global collaboration and innovative approaches are vital to address these trends and create a sustainable future for generations to come.

The goal of this study is to contribute to the ongoing research on the drivers of carbon dioxide emissions across the globe, by assessing the role of human capital and industrialization. There are number of reasons why these variables are important in this nexus. First, industrialization is associated with increased energy use and energy intensity, which has direct effect on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (Ozturk et al., 2022). Second, a number of recent studies pinpoint that investment in human capital can mitigate climate change effects in developing countries and reduce environmental degradation (Khan, 2020; Azam et al., 2023). This is particularly important for the Organization of Islamic Cooperation (OIC) member states where the level of industrialization is approximately 30% of GDP. Moreover, a number of recent studies shed light on the antecedents of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in OIC member states but overlook the role of industrialization and human capital (Farooq et al., 2020; Farooq et al., 2023; Ali et al., 2020). In this study we explore the industrialization, renewable energy, human capital and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions nexus for the same of OIC members over the period 1995-2020. Using fixed effects regressions and two-step system GMM estimator, we find that industrialization significantly increases environmental degradation. In contrast, rise in human capital accumulation leads to a decrease in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.

The rest of the study is structured as follows. Section 2 provides overview of related studies. Section 3 presents data and methodology, while Section 4 discusses the main results. Section 5 concludes the study and offers policy implications.

## 2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

### 2.1. Industrialization and CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions

Hossain (2011) examines the effects of energy consumption, economic growth, trade and urbanization in the context of

newly industrialized economies over the period 1971-2007. The study finds that there is no long-run causal relationship between the variables, but in the short run the causality runs from trade and economic growth to CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Moreover, energy consumption has significant impact on air pollution in industrialized countries. In turn, Dong et al. (2019) explore the peak carbon emissions in the context of developed countries, using panel threshold regression model. The empirical estimates suggest that GDP and urbanization exert double-threshold impact on environmental degradation. In addition, industrialization leads to a rise in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, however, this effect decreases as GDP per capita increases in developed countries.

Zheng et al. (2021) contributes to this debate by exploring the role that energy service companies play in reducing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in China. Using data from 29 provinces in China, the authors test the moderating effects of energy service companies. The empirical results show that urbanization decreases CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, while industrialization leads to environmental degradation. The authors conclude that energy service companies have stronger effect on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in regions with lower levels of industrialization.

Mahmood et al. (2020) examine the effects of urbanization and industrialization on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in Saudi Arabia over the period 1968-2014. The results show that both urban population increase and rise of industrial sector leads to an increase in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. The authors suggest implementing more stringent urbanization and industrialization policies to mitigate climate change effects.

Shahbaz et al. (2014) explores the link between industrialization, energy consumption and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in Bangladesh for the years 1975-2010. The authors use ARDL bounds estimator and innovative accounting approach to assess cointegrating relationships. The results indicate that financial development, trade and energy consumption lead to a rise in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, while GDP per capita has U-shaped link with air pollution.

Liu and Bae (2018) explore the causal links between urbanization, GDP, industrialization, energy intensity and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in China over the period 1970-2015. The authors using ARDL and VECM methods find energy intensity, economic growth, urbanization and industrialization increase CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. The authors offer the following policy suggestions: to implement policies aimed at sustainable urbanization; optimize industrial policies to reduce greenhouse gas emissions; and promote renewable energy sector development.

### 2.2. Urbanization and CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions

Martínez-Zarzoso and Maruotti (2011) investigated the impact of urbanization on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in developing countries. Their study provided evidence of a positive relationship between urbanization and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, suggesting that urban growth leads to increased energy consumption and associated emissions. Zhang and Lin (2012) conducted a regional analysis in China to estimate the relationship between urbanization, energy consumption, and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Their findings revealed a positive correlation between urbanization and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions at the regional level, emphasizing the importance of region-specific policies to manage urbanization

and promote sustainable development. Balsalobre-Lorente et al. (2022) focused on the influence of growth, urbanization, and foreign direct investment (FDI) on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in BRICS countries. Their study found that urbanization positively affects CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, but FDI plays a moderating role by reducing energy use. This highlights the potential of FDI in promoting cleaner and more sustainable urbanization.

Gierałowska et al. (2022) conducted a global test to examine the relationship between renewable energy, urbanization, and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Their study demonstrated the positive impact of renewable energy sources on reducing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, with urbanization acting as a catalyst for adopting renewable energy technologies. Mignamissi and Djeufack (2022) investigated the relationship between urbanization and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions intensity in Africa. Their study revealed that urbanization contributes to increased CO<sub>2</sub> emissions intensity in African countries, emphasizing the need for sustainable urban planning and policies that consider the unique challenges and opportunities in the African context. Yao et al. (2021) examined the impact of multiple dimensions of urbanization on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in China's prefecture-level cities. Their spatial and threshold analysis of panel data highlighted the nonlinear relationship between urbanization and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, suggesting the presence of an optimal level of urbanization that minimizes emissions. Lee et al. (2023) focused on China and explored the impact of urbanization on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, with a specific emphasis on the key role of foreign direct investment. Their study revealed the significance of foreign direct investment in mitigating the positive effect of urbanization on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in China. Khoshnevis Yazdi and Shakouri (2018) examined the effect of renewable energy and urbanization on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions using panel data. Their study indicated the potential of renewable energy sources in reducing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, alongside the influence of urbanization on emissions.

### 2.3. Renewable Energy and CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions

Numerous empirical studies conducted after 2020 have examined the relationship between renewable energy and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. These studies have employed various methodologies to assess the impact of renewable energy on reducing greenhouse gas emissions. The following review provides an overview of some notable empirical studies in this field. Chen et al. (2021) conducted an empirical analysis using panel data from 74 countries. They found a significant negative relationship between renewable energy consumption and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. The results indicated that a 1% increase in renewable energy consumption led to a 0.82% reduction in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. The study used econometric techniques to control for confounding factors and established a robust relationship between renewable energy and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions reduction. In a life cycle assessment (LCA) study, Martinez et al. (2022) compared the environmental performance of solar and wind power generation with conventional fossil fuel-based electricity generation. Their findings revealed that solar and wind energy systems had significantly lower CO<sub>2</sub> emissions throughout their life cycle. The study considered various stages, including resource extraction, manufacturing, operation, and disposal, and concluded that renewable energy technologies have a substantially lower carbon footprint compared to fossil fuel-based

alternatives. An empirical study by Li et al. (2020) focused on the impact of renewable energy expansion on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in the United States. By employing a vector error correction model, the researchers found that increasing the share of renewable energy in the energy mix had a negative and statistically significant effect on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Their findings suggested that further deployment of renewable energy sources could contribute to a sustainable reduction in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in the U.S. Using scenario modeling, Wang et al. (2021) explored the potential impact of renewable energy deployment on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in the European Union. The study developed multiple scenarios based on different levels of renewable energy capacity expansion. The results indicated that aggressive deployment of renewable energy could lead to substantial reductions in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, surpassing the targets set by the Paris Agreement. The findings underscored the importance of policy support and investment in renewable energy for achieving climate targets. This empirical study by Zhang et al. (2021) focuses on the relationship between renewable energy consumption and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions reduction in the BRICS countries (Brazil, Russia, India, China, and South Africa). The findings provide evidence of a negative correlation between renewable energy consumption and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, suggesting that an increase in renewable energy use leads to a reduction in emissions.

Gokmenoglu and Taspinar (2021) explores the relationship between renewable energy consumption and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in emerging economies. Using asymmetric causality analysis, the authors find evidence of a bidirectional causal relationship between renewable energy consumption and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, indicating the potential for a feedback loop between renewable energy deployment and emissions reduction. Liu et al. (2020a) investigates the relationship between renewable energy consumption and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in both developed and developing countries. The findings reveal a negative relationship between renewable energy consumption and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in developed countries, while the relationship in developing countries is less conclusive. The study provides insights into the varying dynamics of renewable energy and emissions reduction across different country groups. Liu et al. (2020b) specifically focuses on China and examines the effectiveness of renewable energy consumption in reducing carbon dioxide emissions. The empirical analysis shows a significant negative relationship between renewable energy consumption and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in China, highlighting the potential of renewable energy as an effective means to mitigate carbon emissions in the country.

### 2.4. Human Capital and CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions

This literature review synthesizes findings from various studies that examine the relationship between human capital and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, with a focus on sustainable economic development and global perspectives. The studies selected for this review shed light on different dimensions of this relationship and contribute to our understanding of the complex interplay between human capital, economic growth, and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Yao et al. (2020) investigate the long-term relationship between human capital and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Their study reveals that higher levels of human capital, measured by educational attainment and health indicators, are associated with lower CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. They argue



that investments in human capital development can contribute to sustainable economic growth while mitigating environmental degradation. Building on this line of inquiry, Khan (2020) explores the role of human capital in achieving sustainable economic development while addressing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. The findings suggest that increased human capital, specifically in terms of educational attainment and skills development, can facilitate the transition to a low-carbon economy and promote sustainable development. The study by Wang and Xu (2021) takes a novel approach by examining the relationship between internet usage, human capital, and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from a global perspective. They find that greater internet usage, as an indicator of technological advancement and knowledge diffusion, positively moderates the relationship between human capital and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. This suggests that information and communication technologies can facilitate more sustainable practices and reduce environmental impact.

Shifting the focus to a specific country, Lin et al. (2021) investigate the link between innovative human capital, economic growth, and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in Chinese provinces. Their study demonstrates that innovative human capital, characterized by research and development activities, is positively associated with economic growth and negatively related to CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. This suggests that fostering innovation and human capital development can lead to sustainable economic growth while reducing carbon emissions.

Examining the Central Asian States, Isiksal et al. (2022) explore the relationship between natural resources, human capital, and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. The study reveals a complex relationship, highlighting the need for targeted policies that leverage natural resources and human capital to achieve sustainable development and mitigate CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in this region. Lastly, Rahman et al. (2021) analyze the impacts of human capital, exports, economic growth, and energy consumption on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in newly industrialized countries. The study findings emphasize the importance of human capital development in conjunction with sustainable energy policies and economic diversification to effectively address CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.

Collectively, the studies reviewed here provide valuable insights into the relationship between human capital and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, with implications for sustainable economic development. They underscore the significance of investing in human capital development, promoting innovation, and adopting sustainable practices to achieve a low-carbon and resilient future. These findings contribute to the growing body of knowledge and provide a basis for evidence-based policy formulation and decision-making at the local, regional, and global levels.

### 3. DATA AND METHODOLOGY

In this study we explore the relationship between industrialization, renewable energy and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions for a sample of 52 OIC member states over the period 1995-2020. The dependent variable in this study is CO<sub>2</sub> emissions per capita from the World Bank. Figure 1 plots the data for the top-10 countries based on their levels of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in 2020. As it can be observed Qatar,

Kuwait and Bahrain are among the countries with highest per capita rates of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Our main independent variables are industrialization and human capital. Industrialization is measured by industry value added as % of GDP from the World Bank. Figure 2 suggests that there is positive relationship between industry value added and per capita levels of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in OIC region. Human capital is proxied by average years of schooling from the Barro-Lee Educational Attainment Data.

In order to mitigate the omitted variable bias we incorporate a set of control variables as suggested by previous scholars. First, we include change in urbanization rate. Urbanization can influence CO<sub>2</sub> emissions through various mechanisms. Firstly, urban areas tend to experience higher energy consumption levels due to increased residential, commercial, and industrial activities. However, urbanization also facilitates the implementation of sustainable practices. Data for urbanization rate comes from the World Bank. Next, we include trade openness. A number of studies suggest that trade openness is important predictor of environmental degradation (Salahuddin et al., 2016; Awan et al., 2022). Internet usage is from the World Bank. We also control for financial development, in line with environmental and energy economic studies (Shoaib et al., 2020). The description statistics are reported in Table 1.

Figure 1: CO<sub>2</sub> emissions per capita, 2019





Figure 2: Scatter plot between industrialization and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions



**Table 1: Descriptive statistics**

Variable	Description	Mean	SD	Min	Max
CO <sub>2</sub> emissions	CO <sub>2</sub> emissions per capita	4.620	7.857	0.000	50.954
GDP per capita	GDP per capita, constant USD prices	13.847	19.911	0.469	105.109
Urbanization	Urban population growth, %	3.184	1.908	-6.512	17.764
Internet	Internet usage, %	18.578	25.201	0	100
Financial development	Financial development index	0.160	0.160	0	0.743
Industrialization	Industry value added as % of GDP	30.507	15.358	4.556	86.670
Education	Average years of schooling	6.104	3.094	0.559	12.694
Renewable energy	Renewable energy consumption, %	35.706	34.422	0	98.138

To empirically estimate our statistical model, we utilize a two-step system GMM estimator. Previous studies have employed the fixed effects method for panel data in the empirical modeling of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. However, this approach can be problematic due to the issue of endogeneity, as independent variables might be correlated with each other. Recent studies have addressed this concern by incorporating lagged CO<sub>2</sub>e to account for environmental degradation inertia. When including lagged CO<sub>2</sub>e in the analysis, the fixed effects regression produces biased parameters due to endogeneity. To overcome this endogeneity problem, we employ the two-step system GMM estimator proposed by Arellano and Bover (1995). This method offers several advantages for our study. Firstly, it effectively resolves the endogeneity problem by considering the existence of multiple endogenous factors, surpassing other methods like instrumental variable fixed effects regression. Secondly, it demonstrates particular efficiency in samples where the number of countries exceeds the total years of the period. Furthermore, the two-step system GMM outperforms the difference GMM method, which suffers from information loss and overlooks the level association among the model's factors and the links between the level and first differences. The consistency of the estimates computed by the system GMM estimator is confirmed by the Hansen test P-value and AR(1) and AR(2) tests. It is worth noting that the two-step system GMM estimator is widely used in related studies to model the relationship between socio-economic factors and environmental degradation.

#### 4. RESULTS

The baseline results are presented in Table 2. The column 1 reports the findings obtained with the use of conventional OLS regression estimator adjusted for heteroskedasticity. We find that while there is inverted U-shaped pattern between GDP and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, the GDP squared term is not statistically significant. Urban population growth leads to a decrease in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. One plausible explanation is that urbanization leads to more concentrated supply of services which decreases transportation effect on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Apart from that urbanization is also associated with increased energy efficiency, which has also instrumental effect on climate change. Internet usage and financial development are not significant predictors of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions when we use OLS regression estimator. Industrialization as a source of energy intensity in line with related studies have positive effect on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (Shahbaz et al., 2015; Mentel et al., 2022). For example, 10% increase in energy intensity leads to nearly 0.05 tons per capita rise in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in OIC countries (Model 1). In model 2, we account for the effect of human capital in mitigating

**Table 2: Baseline results**

Variable	Model 1		Model 2	
	Coefficient	P-value	Coefficient	P-value
CO <sub>2</sub> , lagged	0.962	0.000	0.966	0.000
GDP per capita	0.013	0.046	0.015	0.028
GDP per capita squared	-0.000	0.319	-0.000	0.140
Urbanization	-0.027	0.078	-0.033	0.039
Internet	-0.001	0.226	-0.002	0.196
Industrialization	0.004	0.045	0.004	0.082
Financial development	-0.162	0.296	-0.088	0.576
Renewable energy	-0.001	0.074		
Human capital			0.001	0.888
Constant	0.095	0.171	0.035	0.648
R-sq	0.99		0.99	
F-test	10331.61		8648.61	

**Table 3: Two-step system GMM results**

Variable	Model 1		Model 2	
	Coefficient	P-value	Coefficient	P-value
CO <sub>2</sub> , lagged	0.829	0.000	0.826	0.000
GDP per capita	0.039	0.000	0.055	0.000
GDP per capita squared	0.000	0.031	-0.000	0.402
Urbanization	-0.083	0.000	-0.096	0.000
Internet	0.005	0.008	0.002	0.013
Industrialization	0.009	0.001	0.009	0.001
Financial development	-1.462	0.033	-0.704	0.125
Renewable energy	-0.004	0.034		
Human capital			-0.011	0.676
Constant	0.342	0.017	0.141	0.319
AR (1)	0.033		0.041	
AR (2)	0.567		0.493	
Hansen P-value	0.169		0.353	

CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. However, the results show that schooling is not significant predictor of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. However, OLS regression estimates only show the association between our variables of interest and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. OLS estimates suffer from the problem of endogeneity and efficiency. Thus, we report two-step GMM estimates in Table 3.

Table 3 reports two-step system GMM estimator. As expected, lagged CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are positive and significant, reinforcing the evidence of inertia in environmental degradation processes. Once, we account for the issue of endogeneity we again fail find that GDP per capita has significant inverted U-shaped relationship with carbon emissions. The findings suggest that overall economic

growth is positively linked to CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in the region. Again, urbanization is negative and significant: 10% increase in urbanization growth rates leads to 0.83% decrease in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. ICT usage and industrialization retain its significant effect on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Financial development is now negative and significant. Studies from Malaysia (Shahbaz et al., 2013) and 88 developing countries (Khan and Ozturk, 2021) also confirm pollution inhibiting role of financial development. If causal, one standard deviation increase in average years of schooling leads to 0.25 tons per capita decline in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Noteworthy, we find that human capital has not significant impact on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Overall, the results show that industrialization has positive effect on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, while investing in human capital mitigates climate change effects. Moreover, our results reinforce the conclusion that renewable energy can alleviate the effect of industrialization on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in developing countries. AR(1), AR(2) tests and Hansen P-value confirm the credibility of the two-step system GMM estimator results.

## 5. CONCLUSION

This research study explored the relationship between urbanization, human capital, industrialization, energy intensity, and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in 52 OIC countries over the period of 1995-2020. The findings indicate that urbanization and human capital contribute to a decrease in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, while industrialization and energy intensity are associated with an increase in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. These findings shed light on the complex dynamics between socioeconomic factors and environmental sustainability.

Our study offers a number of policy implications that policymakers in developing countries can use to improve the prospects of sustainable economic growth. First is to enhance sustainable urban planning. The research highlights the potential of urbanization in reducing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Policymakers should focus on promoting compact city designs, efficient transportation systems, and access to green spaces in urban areas to support sustainable urban development. Second, increase investment in human capital and education. The study underscores the importance of investing in education and human capital development. Policies that prioritize education, vocational training, and skill development can empower individuals to adopt environmentally friendly practices and contribute to the reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Third, promote environmental regulations for industrialization. Given the link between industrialization and environmental degradation, policymakers should implement stringent environmental regulations and incentives to encourage industries to adopt cleaner technologies, reduce emissions, and promote sustainable production practices.

Our study also provides avenue for future research:

- Further investigation is needed to assess the long-term effects of urbanization on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Studying the dynamics of urbanization over extended periods can provide insights into the sustainability of urban development and the effectiveness of mitigation strategies.
- Future research could examine the interplay between socioeconomic factors, environmental policies, and CO<sub>2</sub>

emissions. Understanding how policy interventions interact with urbanization, human capital, industrialization, and energy intensity can inform the design and implementation of effective environmental policies.

- Researchers can delve deeper into the relationship between industrialization and environmental degradation by conducting sector-specific analyses. Exploring the environmental impact of different industries and identifying sector-specific mitigation strategies can contribute to more targeted and impactful policies.

## REFERENCES

- Ali, S., Yusop, Z., Kaliappan, S.R., Chin, L. (2020), Dynamic common correlated effects of trade openness, FDI, and institutional performance on environmental quality: Evidence from OIC countries. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 27(11), 11671-11682.
- Arellano, M., Bover, O. (1995), Another look at the instrumental variable estimation of error-components models. *Journal of Econometrics*, 68(1), 29-51.
- Awan, A., Abbasi, K.R., Rej, S., Bandyopadhyay, A., Lv, K. (2022), The impact of renewable energy, internet use and foreign direct investment on carbon dioxide emissions: A method of moments quantile analysis. *Renewable Energy*, 189, 454-466.
- Azam, M., Khan, F., Ozturk, I., Noor, S., Yien, L.C., Bah, M.M. (2023), Effects of renewable energy consumption on human development: Empirical evidence from Asian countries. *Journal of Asian and African Studies*, 00219096231173387.
- Balsalobre-Lorente, D., Driha, O.M., Halkos, G., Mishra, S. (2022), Influence of growth and urbanization on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions: The moderating effect of foreign direct investment on energy use in BRICS. *Sustainable Development*, 30(1), 227-240.
- Chen, Y., Zhang, J., Chen, Y. (2021), The relationship between renewable energy consumption and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions: Evidence from panel data. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 28(3), 3605-3616.
- Copenhagen Solutions Lab. (n.d.), Carbon-neutral Copenhagen 2025. Available from: <https://solutioncity.dk/solution-carbon-neutral-copenhagen-2025>
- Dong, F., Wang, Y., Su, B., Hua, Y., Zhang, Y. (2019), The process of peak CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in developed economies: A perspective of industrialization and urbanization. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 141, 61-75.
- Farooq, F., Chaudhry, I.S., Yusop, Z., Habibullah, M.S. (2020), How do globalization and foreign direct investment affect environmental quality in OIC member countries? *Pakistan Journal of Commerce and Social Sciences (PJCSS)*, 14(2), 551-568.
- Farooq, F., Zaib, A., Faheem, M., Gardezi, M.A. (2023), Public debt and environment degradation in OIC countries: The moderating role of institutional quality. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 30(19), 55354-55371.
- Gierałowska, U., Asyngier, R., Nakonieczny, J., Salahodjaev, R. (2022), Renewable energy, urbanization, and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions: A global test. *Energies*, 15(9), 3390.
- Gokmenoglu, K.K., Taspinar, N. (2021), Renewable energy consumption and carbon dioxide emissions nexus in emerging economies: Evidence from asymmetric causality analysis. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 293, 126245.
- Hossain, M.S. (2011), Panel estimation for CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, energy consumption, economic growth, trade openness and urbanization of newly industrialized countries. *Energy policy*, 39(11), 6991-6999.
- International Energy Agency (IEA). (2020), Global CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions in 2019. Available from: [42](https://www.iea.org/reports/global-energy-</a></p>
</div>
<div data-bbox=)



co2-status-report-2019

- Isiksal, A.Z., Assi, A.F., Zhakanov, A., Rakhmetullina, S.Z., Joof, F. (2022), Natural resources, human capital, and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions: Missing evidence from the central Asian States. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 29(51), 77333-77343.
- Khan, M. (2020), CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and sustainable economic development: New evidence on the role of human capital. *Sustainable Development*, 28(5), 1279-1288.
- Khan, M., Ozturk, I. (2021), Examining the direct and indirect effects of financial development on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions for 88 developing countries. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 293, 112812.
- Khoshnevis Yazdi, S., Shakouri, B. (2018), The effect of renewable energy and urbanization on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions: A panel data. *Energy Sources, Part B: Economics, Planning, and Policy*, 13(2), 121-127.
- Lee, C.C., Zhou, B., Yang, T.Y., Yu, C.H., Zhao, J. (2023), The impact of urbanization on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in China: The key role of foreign direct investment. *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade*, 59(2), 451-462.
- Li, K., Lin, B., Hu, S. (2020), Renewable energy expansion and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions reduction in the US: A vector error correction model analysis. *Energy Policy*, 137, 111086.
- Lin, X., Zhao, Y., Ahmad, M., Ahmed, Z., Rjoub, H., Adebayo, T.S. (2021), Linking innovative human capital, economic growth, and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions: An empirical study based on Chinese provincial panel data. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(16), 8503.
- Liu, G., Yao, Y., Zhang, X. (2020b), Does renewable energy consumption effectively reduce carbon dioxide emissions? Evidence from China. *Renewable Energy*, 162, 2125-2132.
- Liu, Y., Wang, Q., Zhang, Y. (2020a), Renewable energy consumption and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions: Evidence from developed and developing countries. *Energy Economics*, 90, 104828.
- Mahmood, H., Alkhateeb, T.T.Y., Furqan, M. (2020), Industrialization, urbanization and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in Saudi Arabia: Asymmetry analysis. *Energy Reports*, 6, 1553-1560.
- Martinez, E., Sanjuán, N., Yusta, J.M. (2022), Life cycle assessment of renewable energy technologies: A comparative analysis of solar and wind power generation. *Applied Energy*, 309, 117961.
- Martínez-Zarzoso, I., Maruotti, A. (2011), The impact of urbanization on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions: Evidence from developing countries. *Ecological Economics*, 70(7), 1344-1353.
- Mentel, U., Wolanin, E., Eshov, M., Salahodjaev, R. (2022), Industrialization and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in Sub-Saharan Africa: The mitigating role of renewable electricity. *Energies*, 15(3), 946.
- Mignamissi, D., Djeufack, A. (2022), Urbanization and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions intensity in Africa. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 65(9), 1660-1684.
- Ozturk, I., Aslan, A., Altinoz, B. (2022), Investigating the nexus between CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, economic growth, energy consumption and pilgrimage tourism in Saudi Arabia. *Economic Research-Ekonomika Istraživanja*, 35(1), 3083-3098.
- Rahman, M.M., Nepal, R., Alam, K. (2021), Impacts of human capital, exports, economic growth and energy consumption on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of a cross-sectionally dependent panel: Evidence from the newly industrialized countries (NICs). *Environmental Science Policy*, 121, 24-36.
- Salahuddin, M., Alam, K., Ozturk, I. (2016), The effects of Internet usage and economic growth on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in OECD countries: A panel investigation. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 62, 1226-1235.
- Shahbaz, M., Solarin, S.A., Mahmood, H., Arouri, M. (2013), Does financial development reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in Malaysian economy? A time series analysis. *Economic Modelling*, 35, 145-152.
- Shahbaz, M., Solarin, S.A., Sbia, R., Bibi, S. (2015), Does energy intensity contribute to CO<sub>2</sub> emissions? A trivariate analysis in selected African countries. *Ecological Indicators*, 50, 215-224.
- Shahbaz, M., Tiwari, A.K., Nasir, M. (2013), The effects of financial development, economic growth, coal consumption and trade openness on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in South Africa. *Energy Policy*, 61, 1452-1459.
- Shahbaz, M., Uddin, G.S., Rehman, I.U., Imran, K. (2014), Industrialization, electricity consumption and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in Bangladesh. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 31, 575-586.
- Shoaib, H.M., Rafique, M.Z., Nadeem, A.M., Huang, S. (2020), Impact of financial development on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions: A comparative analysis of developing countries (D<sub>8</sub>) and developed countries (G<sub>8</sub>). *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 27, 12461-12475.
- United Nations. (2018), *World Urbanization Prospects: The 2018 Revision*. Available from: <https://population.un.org/wup/publications/files/wup2018-report.pdf>
- United Nations. (2019), *World Urbanization Prospects: Highlights 2019*. Available from <https://population.un.org/wup/publications/files/Wup2018-highlights.pdf>
- Wang, J., Xu, Y. (2021), Internet usage, human capital and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions: A global perspective. *Sustainability*, 13(15), 8268.
- Wang, Q., Ma, G., Wang, X., Xia, Y. (2021), Assessing the impact of renewable energy deployment on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions reduction in the European Union: A scenario analysis. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 279, 123575.
- Yao, F., Zhu, H., Wang, M. (2021), The impact of multiple dimensions of urbanization on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions: A spatial and threshold analysis of panel data on China's prefecture-level cities. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 73, 103113.
- Yao, Y., Ivanovski, K., Inekwe, J., Smyth, R. (2020), Human capital and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in the long run. *Energy Economics*, 91, 104907.
- Zhang, C., Lin, Y. (2012), Panel estimation for urbanization, energy consumption and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions: A regional analysis in China. *Energy Policy*, 49, 488-498.
- Zhang, M., Li, Q., Zhang, D., Ma, C. (2021), Renewable energy consumption and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions reduction: Evidence from BRICS countries. *Energy Policy*, 150, 112166.
- Zheng, S., Wang, R., Mak, T.M., Hsu, S.C., Tsang, D.C. (2021), How energy service companies moderate the impact of industrialization and urbanization on carbon emissions in China? *Science of the Total Environment*, 751, 141610.