

# DIGITALES ARCHIV

ZBW – Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft  
ZBW – Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Al-Kasasbeh, Omar; Alzghoul, Amro; Alhanatleh, Hasan

## Article

# Empirical analysis of air pollution impacts on Jordan economy

## Provided in Cooperation with:

International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy (IJEPP)

*Reference:* Al-Kasasbeh, Omar/Alzghoul, Amro et. al. (2022). Empirical analysis of air pollution impacts on Jordan economy. In: International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy 12 (4), S. 512 - 516.

<https://econjournals.com/index.php/ijeep/article/download/12761/6866/30813>.

doi:10.32479/ijeep.12761.

This Version is available at:

<http://hdl.handle.net/11159/12333>

## Kontakt/Contact

ZBW – Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft/Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Düsternbrooker Weg 120

24105 Kiel (Germany)

E-Mail: [rights\[at\]zbw.eu](mailto:rights[at]zbw.eu)

<https://www.zbw.eu/econis-archiv/>

## Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Dieses Dokument darf zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden. Sie dürfen dieses Dokument nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, aufführen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen. Sofern für das Dokument eine Open-Content-Lizenz verwendet wurde, so gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

<https://zbw.eu/econis-archiv/termsfuse>

## Terms of use:

*This document may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes. You are not to copy it for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the document in public, to perform, distribute or otherwise use the document in public. If the document is made available under a Creative Commons Licence you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the licence.*



## Empirical Analysis of Air Pollution Impacts on Jordan Economy

Omar Al-Kasasbeh\*, Amro Alzghoul, Hasan Alhanatleh

Faculty of Business, Amman Arab University, Amman, Jordan. \*Email: [o.kasasbeh@aau.edu.jo](mailto:o.kasasbeh@aau.edu.jo)

Received: 05 January 2022

Accepted: 13 May 2022

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.32479/ijeeep.12761>

### ABSTRACT

Pollution and the economy appear to be intertwined throughout human history. Our knowledge of the connection between environmental damage and economic growth is fragmented due to disciplinary biases. Accordingly, the purpose of this research is to gain an understanding of the dynamic relationship that exists between air pollution and the economy of Jordan, as well as to investigate the existence of the Environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC), in order to determine which policy options would be most effective in reducing emissions while still allowing for continued economic growth. This study adopted the Bayer-Hanck Cointegration test and Granger Causality tests to achieve the objectives. Bayer-Hanck cointegration indicate that there exists a long-term relationship between air pollutants and economic growth. Moreover, Granger causality test shows that economic growth has a causal effect on the three air pollutants at a significance level of 0.05. By scholarly contributions to the debate between air pollutants and economic growth, this study aims to fill a gap in the existing literature by investigating the EKC hypothesis and the impacts of air pollution on economic growth in Jordan.

**Keywords:** Bayer-Hanck, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, Economic growth, Environmental Kuznets Curve

**JEL Classifications:** F43, O13, Q53

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Air pollution refers to a wide range of pollutants that are produced by a single or multiple agent. According to a European Commission study, approximately 82% of Europeans are exposed to air pollution (Gehrsitz, 2017). Lower air quality is a major environmental problem that has an impact on humans due to air pollutants such as ozone, nitrogen dioxide and carbon dioxide (Collivignarelli et al., 2020). Air pollution is more concentrated in urban areas due to increased traffic and population density (Amin et al., 2020).

The association between pollution and economic growth has received considerable attention in both scientific and social sciences (Qiu et al., 2019). Some of the less obvious connections between economic growth and environmental effects have begun to be obscured by the Environmental Kuznets curve (Ozokcu and Ozdemir, 2017).

The carrying capacity of the ecological system is an extremely important factor to take into consideration. In addition, the majority of research are carried in narrow contexts, which hinders us from putting an integrated framework to the test. There are often conflicting narratives about economic progress and human development in less developed nations, where pollution levels are fast rising. As a result, trees and agricultural harvests can be adversely affected by air pollution in several ways (Rupakheti, 2015). One probable result of ground-level ozone is a decrease in agriculture and forest yields; decreased tree development; and an increase in vulnerability to plant diseases, pests, and other difficulties (Jebli et al., 2016). When calculating the Cost of Environmental Deterioration (COED) in Jordan, both the immediate and long-term consequences of degradation in a particular year are included. The total COED is estimated to be between 143 and 332 million JD, with an average of 237 million JD, or 2.35% of GDP in 2006, based on a number of well-established and globally recognized approaches. When the cost of emissions on the global environment is

considered, Jordan and the rest of the world will pay a total of 393 million JD. In the past decade, the Jordanian government has made great strides in its abilities to mitigate environmental damage (World Bank, 2009).


The empirical data in Jordan is still scarce, thus the goal of this research is to evaluate the long- and short-run link between air pollution and economic growth in Jordan using EKC hypothesis. The contribution of this study is predicted to be able to lead to green economic policies in the design of future environmental policies. Without tighter controls, air pollution emissions and concentrations are expected to rise rapidly, posing a serious threat to human health and the environment. The negative health effects of air pollution are expected to result in significant economic costs, with significant annual global welfare costs at the regional and sectoral levels (Jalil and Feridun, 2011). The next section consists of a literature review. The third section presents the analysis and discussion of research findings. The final section presents conclusions.

## 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

### 2.1. Theoretical Literature

Kuznets (1955) developed the hypothesis that income inequality and economic growth have an inverted U-shaped connection. This idea was restated in the 1990s as the Environmental Kuznets Curve, a link between economic growth/income and environmental quality (EKC). In the early stages of development, as GDP per capita increases, so do CO<sub>2</sub> emissions per capita. After the tipping point, a certain level of income, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions per capita decrease gradually because, after this point, the sensitivity of countries and individuals to environmental issues will increase, so environmental degradation will decrease as a result of the implementation of preventative measures. As seen in Figure 1, there is thus an inverted U-shaped link between income and environmental deterioration. Grossman and Krueger (1991) published an essay in which they attempt to establish a connection between air quality and economic growth that popularized the EKC hypothesis. While two variables, namely CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and GDP per capita, have been utilized in early empirical investigations of EKC, they are CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and GDP per capita.

Figure 1: Environmental Kuznets curve



### 2.2. Empirical Literature

A number of Prior studies on environmental deterioration have examined the interaction between CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and economic growth. Long-run interaction between air pollution and economic growth were investigated using the environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC) hypothesis. The EKC theory was based on the Inverted-U relationship between the level of carbon emissions and the level of income in a given nation. When Grossman and Krueger (1995) looked at the relationship between per capita income and environmental factors, they were the first researchers to introduce the EKC hypothesis to investigate the relationship. However, their empirical study was problematic with regard to the EKC hypothesis, since it came up with different results. The EKC theory has been supported by a number of studies, each of which came to different findings. Certain researchers have offered arguments supporting the EKC hypothesis (Rauf et al., 2018; Pata, 2018; Cosmas et al., 2019; Rana and Sharma, 2019; Bekun et al., 2020; Wasti and Zaidi, 2020). Though, some studies refute the EKC hypothesis (Sarkodie, 2018; Koc and Bulus, 2020; Dogan and Inglesi-Lotz, 2020; Leal and Marques, 2020).

In Turkey, Gökmenoğlu and Taspınar (2016) investigated the relationship between foreign direct investment (FDI), economic growth, energy consumption, and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions between 1974 and 2010. They used the Toda Yamamoto causality technique, and their findings demonstrated that there is a two-way causality between CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and FDI inflows, as well as between CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and energy. Furthermore, a one-way causation between real growth and FDI inflows, as well as between energy consumption and real growth, was discovered.

Saboori and Sulaiman (2013) analysed the cointegration and causal relationship between energy consumption, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, and economic growth for each of the five ASEAN member countries separately. All five nations' economies experienced cointegration of economic growth, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, and energy consumption, and there was a positive association between CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and energy consumption in both the short and long term, the researchers discovered. The reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions was only shown to be connected with economic growth in Singapore and Thailand, with the reverse finding happening in Indonesia and the Philippines.

Hanif (2018) used a generalized linear model (GMM) to evaluate the relationships between economic growth, the consumption of fossil fuels, renewable energy on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, urban expansion and solid fuels in Sub-Saharan Africa's economies covering the time period 1995 and 2015. In the study, it was discovered that the use of fossil and solid fuels had a positive influence on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, but the use of renewable energy had a negative impact on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.

Heidari et al. (2015) examined the link between EC, CO<sub>2</sub>, and GDP in the ASEAN-5 nations using the Panel Smooth Transition Regression technique, which takes into account heterogeneity and temporal instability. Using two threshold parameters, nonlinearity was discovered. In regime one, environmental deterioration accelerated in tandem with rising economic activity, but this was

not the case in regime two. Energy consumption Granger caused CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in both regimes.

Zhou and Liu (2016) analysed the effect of income, demographic factors and carbon dioxide emissions. The study used the STIRPAT approach model spanning the period from 1990 to 2012. In China, income has become the major driving force for increasing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. according to the findings of this study, whereas demographic variables have no effect on increasing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. However, with the exception of western China, urbanization has increased energy consumption and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. For environmental impact in China, it is extremely appropriate if the government sets measures to regulate the rate of urbanization and promote energy efficiency.

Khoshnevis and Beygi (2018) used PMG and Granger Causality to explore the relationship between CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, trade openness, financial development, renewable energy and economic growth in 25 African nations. The results showed a unidirectional causality from renewable energy to CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and from real output to CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Moreover, Munir et al. (2020) examined the relationship between CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and economic and energy from 1980 to 2016. In Malaysia, Singapore, Thailand and the Philippines, the researcher found loop causation linking growth to CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. While in Indonesia, Malaysia, and Thailand, a one-way causation was found between growth and energy consumption; there was indications of a one-way causality in Singapore from growth to energy usage. Based on the literature evaluation, a research gap was identified and the research was conducted to address the gap of research activities on Air pollution in Jordan.

### 3. DATA, MODEL SPECIFICATION, AND METHODOLOGY

The data collected for this paper work is secondary data extracted from World Bank publications, from the period 2000 to 2020. The model is fitted to predict Jordan's economic growth (GDP Per Capita) with Air pollution variables such as nitrogen dioxide, ozone, and carbon dioxide.

The functional regression model is expressed as;

$$GDP \text{ Per Capita} = f(\text{nitrogen dioxide, ozone, and carbon dioxide})$$

$$GDP \text{ Per Capita} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 CO_2 + \beta_2 NO_2 + \beta_3 O_3 + \dots + \beta_n X_n + \epsilon_t \tag{1}$$

Where β1 to β3 are the coefficient estimates of the air pollution variables. Table 1 shows the variables used in this analysis.

**Table 1: Variables description and data source**

Variable	Definition	Abb.	Period	Source
Economic growth	GDP “per capita (constant 2010 US\$)”	GDP	2000-2020	WDI
Carbon dioxide	CO <sub>2</sub> “emitted from fossil consumption in kilotons”	CO <sub>2</sub>	2000-2020	WDI
Nitrogen Dioxide	NO <sub>2</sub> “emitted from fossil consumption in kilotons”	NO <sub>2</sub>	2000-2020	WDI
Ozone pollution	O <sub>3</sub> “emitted from fossil consumption in kilotons”	O <sub>3</sub>	2000-2020	WDI

WDI: World Bank Development Indicators

### 3.1. The Augmented Dickey-Fuller Unit Root Test

To accommodate overall ARMA (g, r) models with cryptic orders, Augmented Dickey-Fuller (1984) enhanced the simple autoregressive unit root test. This test is referred to as the ADF test. The test is known as the augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test. The model stipulates that the lag length in auto-regression increases with sample size, T, at a regulated rate <T.1/3. The model's core equation is as follows:

$$N_t = \beta_0 D_t + \alpha N_{t-1} + \pi_t \tag{2}$$

$$\alpha(V)U_t = \theta(V)\epsilon_t, \epsilon_t \sim MZ(0, \sigma^2) \tag{3}$$

The null hypothesis of the ADF test is that a time series y<sub>t</sub> is I(1) if the alternative is I(0). The null hypothesis of the ADF t-test is:

$$H_0: \beta = 0 \text{ while, the alternative hypothesis of}$$

$$H_1: \beta < 0$$

This is based on the hypothesis that the nuances of the data have an ARMA configuration. The ADF test is based on determining whether or not there is a regression in the test.

$$N_t = \gamma' S_t + \alpha N_{t-1} + \sum_{j=1}^p \delta_j \Delta N_{t-j} + \rho_t u_t \tag{4}$$

The deterministic term is denoted by D<sub>t</sub>, while the expressions Δy<sub>t-j</sub> represent the serial correlation.

The t-statistic for the ADF and the normalized bias statistic are as follows:

$$ADF_t = l_{\alpha=1} = \frac{\hat{\alpha} - 1}{SE(\hat{\alpha})} \tag{5}$$

ADF<sub>t</sub> exhibits asymptotic distributions of t<sub>0</sub> = 1 in the presence of white noise errors if p is selected suitably.

### 3.2. Bayer-Hanck Cointegration

The time series in the econometric analysis is said to be integrated when various series are independently cointegrated, yet some linear combination of them has a lower order of cointegration. This additionally demonstrated all these cointegration strategies have diverse hypothetical foundations and deliver conflicting outcomes and that the power of positioning cointegration approaches is sensitive with the estimation of irritation estimators (Pesavento, 2004). To enhance the power of cointegration test, with the extraordinary part of producing a joint test-measurement for the invalid of no cointegration in light of Engle and Granger, Phillips and Outliers, Johansen, Boswijk, and Banerjee tests, the supposed Bayer-Hanck test was recently stately by Bayer and Hanck (2013).



Since this new procedure enables us to join a few individual cointegration test results to give a more indisputable discovering, it is likewise utilized as a part of this analysis to check the existence of a cointegrating association among Economic growth and its determinant in Jordan. On this, Bayer and Hanck (2013) formulated to combine the computed significance level (p-values) of the individual cointegration test with the following Fisher’s formulas:

$$E - J = -2[LN(PH_E) + LN(PH_J)] \tag{6}$$

$$E - J - B - BM = -2[LN(PH_E) + LN(PH_J) + LN(PH_B) + LN(PH_{BM})] \tag{7}$$

Where the p-values of several independent cointegration tests, such as those conducted by Engle and Granger (1987); Johansen (1988); Boswijk (1994); and Banerjee et al. (1998), are shown as  $PH_E, PH_J, PH_B, PH_{BM}$  correspondingly. If the premeditated Fisher statistics are higher than the critical values presented by Bayer and Hanck (2013), then it is possible to reject the null hypothesis that there is no cointegration.

### 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Since time series data were used in this study, it was important to test whether data were stationary at levels or required to be differenced to make them stationary. The results obtained after data analysis were thus guaranteed to be valid. This study applies the first generation unit root tests which neglect the structural breaks but were commonly utilised in the literature on economic growth, specifically, the Augmented Dicky-Fuller, 1987 (ADF) test. Without exception, all unit root tests assume non-stationarity under the null hypothesis, the results of the data series were tested for stationarity using the Augmented Dicky-Fuller (ADF) methods.

Table 2 below shows the results of the unit root show the results of the unit root analysis of the factor components for Carbon Dioxide, Nitrogen Dioxide, Ozone Pollution and GDP Per capita.

All of the series have a unit root, which indicates that they are non-stationary at their levels; on the other hand, the series are stationary at their initial differences, as shown in Table 2. The findings of the ADF unit root test indicate that  $CO_2, NO_2, O_3,$  and GDP are integrated in the same order, I(1). The cointegration relationship among series can therefore be examined using the Bayer-Hanck (2013) cointegration approach while taking into consideration multiple structural breaks.

Table 3 below, reports the results from the combine long-run nexus between the variables using Bayer and Hanck test. Since the unit root test reveals that all variables are integrated at I(1), we used the combine cointegration test. The result shows Fisher-statistics for the combine tests of EG-JH-BO-BA: Johansen (1995), Boswijk (1995) and Bannerjee et al., (1998). The Fisher-statistics value for GDP is >10% of critical values. The values for  $CO_2$  and  $NO_2$  exceeded 5% critical values. The Fisher-statistics value for  $O_3$  is >10% of critical values. These combine statistical tests allow us to reject the null hypothesis of no long-run relationship and

**Table 2: Augmented Dickey fuller unit root tests**

Variables	Level t stats	p-value	1 <sup>st</sup> Diff. t stats	p-value
$CO_2$	-2.560	0.2737	-4.7422*	0.000
$NO_2$	-0.954	0.0011	-8.0119*	0.000
$O_3$	-0.229	0.0000	-7.0998*	0.000
GDP	-3.070	0.0463	-4.7422*	0.000

Source: Author’s calculation using EViews 11.0

**Table 3: Bayer and Hanck combine cointegration analysis**

Estimated models	EG-JH-BA-BO	Cointegration
GDP=f( $CO_2, NO_2, O_3$ )	39.049*	Yes
Significance level	Critical value	
1% level	30.774	
5% level	20.143	
10% level	15.938	

\*Represents significant at 1% level. EG-J means Engel-Granger and Johansen combine tests while EG-J-BA-Bo is the Engel-Grange, Johansen, Banerjee and Boswijk combine tests. “Yes” indicate the presence of cointegration and “No” means there is no cointegration between the variables

**Table 4 : Granger causality wald test**

Equation	Prob>Chi-2
GDP cause ALL	p<0.05
$NO_2$ cause ALL	p<0.05
$CO_2$ cause ALL	p<0.05
$CO_2$ cause ALL	p<0.05

Source: Author’s Calculation using Stata Software

confirmed the existence of cointegration between GDP, and explanatory variables.

The below reveals a Granger causality test output and we can see that GDP cause all at a 5% level which means GDP causes  $CO_2,$  GDP causes  $NO_2,$  and GDP cause  $O_3$  at a 0.05 significant level. This tells us that there is a causal effect of GDP on the three air pollutants under this study. Besides,  $CO_2$  and  $NO_2$  also cause all at a 0.05 significant level (Table 4).

### 5. CONCLUSION

Using the Environmental Kuznets Curve, The aim of this study is to explore the linkages between air pollution in Jordan, over the period 2000-2020. In this study, three different pollution parameters are used to assess air quality. The three gases are carbon dioxide ( $CO_2$ ), nitrogen dioxide ( $NO_2$ ), and ozone ( $O_3$ ). They are standard variables in Jordan for regulating air quality. First, stationarity of variables has been examined by Dickey-Fuller unit root test. Then, Bayer-Hanck (2013) cointegration and Granger causality method have been used to analyze data. Bayer-Hanck cointegration method results show that there is a long-run equilibrium relationship among the variables. The Granger causality reveals that GDP per capita has a causal effect on air pollution. These steps, however, are insufficient to reduce environmental pollution without jeopardizing Jordan’s economic growth.

As a result, the following additional steps for achieving these goals may be suggested. These measures include revising regulations

related to reducing GHG emissions from industry, transportation, and heating, increasing the use of bio-diesel fuel instead of fossil fuels, improving alternative energy sources, particularly solar and wind energy projects, raising public awareness and supporting green investments through the application of tax incentives technologies.

## REFERENCES

- Amin, A., Liu, Y., Yu, J., Chandio, A.A., Rasool, S.F., Luo, J., Zaman, S. (2020), How does energy poverty affect economic development? A panel data analysis of South Asian countries. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 27, 31623-31635.
- Banerjee, A., Dolado, J., Mestre, R. (1998), Error-correction mechanism tests for cointegration in a single-equation framework. *Journal of Time Series Analysis*, 19(3), 267-283.
- Bayer, C., Hanck, C. (2013), Combining non-cointegration tests. *Journal of Time Series Analysis*, 34(1), 83-95.
- Bekun, F.V., Alola, A.A., Sarkodie, S.A. (2019), Toward a sustainable environment: Nexus between CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, resource rent, renewable and nonrenewable energy in 16-EU countries. *Science of the Total Environment*, 657, 1023-1029.
- Boswijk, H.P. (1994), Testing for an unstable root in conditional and structural error correction models. *Journal of Econometrics*, 63(1), 37-60.
- Collivignarelli, M.C., Abbà, A., Bertanza, G., Pedrazzani, R., Ricciardi, P., Miino, M.C. (2020), Lockdown for CoViD-2019 in Milan: What are the effects on air quality? *Science of the Total Environment*, 732, 139280.
- Cosmas, N.C., Chitedze, I., Mourad, K.A. (2019), An econometric analysis of the macroeconomic determinants of carbon dioxide emissions in Nigeria. *Science of the Total Environment*, 675, 313-324.
- Dickey, D.A., Fuller, W.A. (1981), Likelihood ratio statistics for autoregressive time series with a unit root. *Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society*, 1057-1072.
- Dogan, E., Inglesi-Lotz, R. (2020), The impact of economic structure to the environmental Kuznets curve (EKC) hypothesis: Evidence from European countries. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 27(11), 12717-12724.
- Engle, R.F., Granger, C.W.J. (1987), Co-integration and error correction: Representation, estimation, and testing. *Econometrica*, 55(2), 251-276.
- Gehrsitz, M. (2017), The effect of low emission zones on air pollution and infant health. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 83, 121-144.
- Gökmenoğlu, K., Taspınar, N. (2016), The relationship between CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, energy consumption, economic growth and FDI: The case of Turkey. *The Journal of International Trade and Economic Development*, 25(5), 706-723.
- Grossman, G.M., Krueger, A.B. (1995), Economic growth and the environment. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 110(2), 353-377.
- Grossman, G.M., Krueger, A.B. (1991), Environmental Impacts of a North American Free Trade Agreement. NBER Working Paper, No. 3914.
- Hanif, I. (2018), Impact of economic growth, nonrenewable and renewable energy consumption, and urbanization on carbon emissions in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 25(15), 15057-15067.
- Heidari, H., Katircioğlu, S.T., Saeidpour, L. (2015), Economic growth, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, and energy consumption in the five ASEAN countries. *International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems*, 64, 785-791.
- Jalil, A., Feridun, M. (2011), Long run relationship between income inequality and Financial Development in China: *Journal of the Asia Pacific Economy*, 16(2), 202-214.
- Jebli, M.B., Youssef, S.B., Ozturk, I. (2016), Testing environmental Kuznets Curve hypothesis: The role of renewable and non-renewable energy consumption and trade in OECD countries. *Ecological Indicators*, 60, 824-831.
- Johansen, S. (1988), Statistical analysis of cointegration vectors. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, 12(2-3), 231-254.
- Khoshnevis, Y.S., Beygi, E.G. (2018), The dynamic impact of renewable energy consumption and financial development on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions: For selected African countries. *Energy Sources, Part B: Economics, Planning, and Policy*, 13(1), 13-20.
- Koc, S., Bulus, G.C. (2020), Testing validity of the EKC hypothesis in South Korea: Role of renewable energy and trade openness. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 27(23), 29043-29054.
- Kuznets, S. (1955), Economic growth and income inequality. *The American Economic Review*, 45(1), 1-28.
- Leal, P.H., Marques, A.C. (2020), Rediscovering the EKC hypothesis for the 20 highest CO<sub>2</sub> emitters among OECD countries by level of globalization. *International Economics*, 164, 36-47.
- Munir, Q., Lean, H.H., Smyth, R. (2020), CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, energy consumption and economic growth in the ASEAN-5 countries: A cross-sectional dependence approach. *Energy Economics*, 85, 104571.
- Ozokcu, S., Ozdemir, O. (2017), Economic growth, energy, and environmental Kuznets Curve. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 72, 639-647.
- Pata, U.K. (2018), Renewable energy consumption, urbanization, financial development, income and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in Turkey: Testing EKC hypothesis with structural breaks. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 187, 770-779.
- Pesavento, E. (2004), Analytical evaluation of the power of tests for the absence of cointegration. *Journal of Econometrics*, 122(2), 349-384.
- Qiu, G., Song, R., He, S. (2019), The aggravation of urban air quality deterioration due to urbanization, transportation and economic development-panel models with marginal effect analyses across China. *Science of the Total Environment*, 651, 1114-1125.
- Rana, R., Sharma, M. (2019), Dynamic causality testing for EKC hypothesis, pollution haven hypothesis and international trade in India. *The Journal of International Trade & Economic Development*, 28(3), 348-364.
- Rauf, A., Liu, X., Amin, W., Ozturk, I., Rehman, O.U., Hafeez, M. (2018), Testing EKC hypothesis with energy and sustainable development challenges: A fresh evidence from belt and road initiative economies. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 25(32), 32066-32080.
- Rupakheti, M. (2015), Air Pollution Impacts on Agriculture. Institute for Advanced Sustainability Studies (IASS). Potsdam, Germany: Media Regional Training, ICIMOD, Kathmandu.
- Saboori, B., Sulaiman, J. (2013), Environmental degradation, economic growth and energy consumption: Evidence of the environmental Kuznets Curve in Malaysia. *Energy Policy*, 60, 892-905.
- Sarkodie, S.A. (2018), The invisible hand and EKC hypothesis: What are the drivers of environmental degradation and pollution in Africa? *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 25(22), 21993-22022.
- Wasti, S.K.A., Zaidi, S.W. (2020), An empirical investigation into CO<sub>2</sub> emission, energy consumption, trade liberalization and economic growth: A case of Kuwait. *Journal of Building Engineering*, 28, 101104.
- World Bank. (2009), Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan: Country Environmental Analysis. Sustainable Development Sector Department (MNSSD), Middle East and North Africa Region.
- Zhou, Y., Liu, Y. (2016), Does population have a larger impact on carbon dioxide emissions than income? Evidence from a cross-regional panel analysis in China. *Applied Energy*, 180, 800-809.